



AGENDA

CYD-BWYLLGOR CRAFFU DINAS-RANBARTH BAE ABERTAWE

2.00 pm DYDD LLUN, 28 HYDREF 2019

SIAMBR Y CYNGOR, NEUADD Y DDINAS, ABERTAWE

**Sylwer y bydd sesiwn friffo a chinio ysgafn am 1pm yn Ystafell
Bwyllgor 3A**

1. Datganiadau o fudd
2. Cofnodion y cyfarfod diwethaf a gynhaliwyd ar 2 Medi 2019
(*Tudalennau 3 - 10*)
3. Rheolau Gweithdrefn Gweddarlledu (*Tudalennau 11 - 34*)
4. Diweddariad llafar gan y Cyngorydd Rob Stewart am y Morlyn Llanw
5. Cyflwyniadau ar Brosiectau Lleol ar gyfer Abertawe
 - Pentref Digidol
 - Sgwâr Digidol
6. Y diweddaraf gan y Swyddog Adran 151
 - Monitro Ariannol (Adroddiad i ddilyn)
7. Monitro Rhaglen (*Tudalennau 35 - 88*)
 - Cynllun Gweithredu (datblygwyd mewn ymateb i adolygiadau amrywiol)
 - Cofnod o risgiau a phroblemau
 - Cofrestr Risgiau (i ddilyn)
 - Cynllun rhoi ar waith

8. Blaenraglen Waith (*Tudalenau 89 - 90*)

Gweddarlledu: Mae'n bosib y caiff y cyfarfod hwn ei ffilmio ar gyfer ei ddarlledu'n fyw neu i'w ddarlledu wedi hynny ar wefan y cyngor. Trwy fynd i mewn drwy Siambwr y Cyngor rydych chi'n rhoi caniatâd i'r cyngor eich ffilmio a'r defnydd posib o'r delweddau a'r recodriadau sain hynny at ddibenion gweddarlledu a/neu hyfforddiant.

**S.Phillips
Prif Weithredwr**

**Canolfan Ddinesig
Port Talbot**


22 Hydref 2019

Aelodaeth y Pwyllgor:

Cadeirydd: **Y Cynghorydd R.James**

Is-gadeirydd: **M.Harvey**

Cynghorwyr: A.Llewelyn, S.E.Freeguard, P.Downing,
J.Curtice, M.Evans, J.Adams, T.Baron,
G.Morgan, D.Price a/ac Jones



Eitem yr Agenda2

SWANSEA BAY CITY REGION JOINT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

(Committee Rooms A/B - Neath Civic Centre)

Members Present:

2 September 2019

Chairman: **Councillor R.James**

Vice Chairman: **Councillor M.Harvey**

Councillors: P.Downing, J.Curtice, J.Adams, G.Morgan,
D.Price and J.Jones

**Officers In
Attendance** K.Jones, S.Curran, C.Davies, M.Shaw and
A.Manchipp

1. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

The following Member made a declaration of interest at the commencement of the meeting:

Councillor M.Harvey

Re Agenda item 4 on Homes as Power Stations as he is employed as a Designing Out Crime Officer with the South Wales Police and had given designing out crime advice on the social housing development, and confirmed his dispensation to both speak and vote thereon.

2. ELECTION OF CHAIRPERSON (AND VICE CHAIRPERSON, IF APPROPRIATE)

RESOLVED: That the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of the Joint Scrutiny Committee be Councillors R.James and M.Harvey respectively, for a two year period, to be effective from the date of this meeting.

3. MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 18 JUNE 2019

The Minutes of the previous meeting held on 18 June, 2019 were approved as an accurate record.

4. HOMES AS POWER STATIONS STATUS AND NEXT STEPS

The Joint Scrutiny Committee received a presentation from Gareth Nutt, the Director of Environment at Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC), on the regional Homes as Power Stations (HAPs) project, which was led by NPTCBC. He advised Members that it had not been possible to arrange a site visit today to view the project as the site was not safe to access. He confirmed however that a site visit would be arranged as soon as construction had been completed.

The project aimed to deliver smart, low carbon, energy efficient homes through a co-ordinated approach across the City Region through a combination of new builds (3,300) and retrofit (7,000). It was hoped that the project would stimulate the local supply chain and sustain a skilled workforce. The project would be monitored and evaluated going forward to evidence its energy efficiency, effects on health and its 'livability'. The project aligned itself with the decarbonisation agenda and aimed to reduce fuel poverty.

The Director advised that there were schemes under development in all four of the counties. It was hoped that approval would be received from both the UK and Welsh Governments following the workshop scheduled to take place in September which would then enable a programme team to be established to deliver on the wider programme ambitions.

Following the presentation Members raised the following points and the Director provided the associated responses:

- During the five year delivery programme, how realistic were the target numbers of new build and retrofit properties? In response, the Director advised that there were affordable housing targets which were not being achieved across the region and it was envisaged that HAPs would help to address this.
- How would HAPs work in a local authority without housing stock? The Authority would work through the Registered Social Landlords.

- Members queried the cost-effectiveness of the various models that could be used to deliver homes as power stations. In response, the Director advised that the aim was to deliver properties that would be energy positive, although an analysis of the various models of HAPs would need to be carried out in order to identify the cost-benefits of different approaches. This would help to identify models that could be adopted, standardised and scaled up.
- In relation to the scheme in Pontardawe, Members were interested to note that this was a private sector development and noted that NPT Council had been able to facilitate this through a land deal with the investor. Members were disappointed to note the limited private sector involvement more broadly.
- In relation to the various models of HAPs being developed across the City Deal Region, Members asked whether all of these would be progressed and were advised that the Director wanted to keep all types of HAPs within the project to allow for evaluation of the different approaches so as to identify the best value options.
- Members noted that the project in Neath would become occupied over September and that the site visit would be convened as soon as practical.
- It was acknowledged that the involvement and scale of the private sector investment was planned to be significant and would be a challenge to achieve particularly in the medium to long term.
- Members asked for a breakdown of the HAPs targets by local authority and the Director advised that this would be circulated to Members of the Committee with the Minutes.
- Members asked at what stage would the different models being trialled be coalesced as one project and noted that once the Business Case was approved, a Programme Team would be established which would bring together the different strands. Once these were up and running they would then be evaluated for the best options. The Director advised that some house builders and mortgage lenders were already on board.
- In relation to the additional costs to new build properties this would be in the region of a 40% increase, although it was hoped to reduce this to 10%.
- Members asked how robust was the equipment and were advised that occupiers would receive induction training on the use of the equipment.

- Members asked whether the generation of the anticipated 4,500 jobs was deliverable in the time frame and were assured that, once approval had been given, there were 900 retro fit properties ready for work to begin. There was a small risk however the four local authorities involved were on board with the project.

5. **FEEDBACK FROM THE MEETING WITH THE UK AND WELSH GOVERNMENTS' OFFICIALS**

Members received feedback on the recent meeting the Chairman had had with both UK and Welsh Governments.

It was hoped that there would be improved dialogue with not only the UK and Welsh Governments but also the health boards and other partners going forward.

6. **UPDATE ON JOINT WORKING AGREEMENT**

The scrutiny committee considered the amendments to the Joint Working Agreement (JWA) which had been approved by the Joint Committee on 30 July 2019. The amended version, which was attached to the circulated report, would be sent to the UK and Welsh Governments for information and would be subject to the approval by each partner local authority.

The scrutiny committee requested that the Joint Committee consider the following:

- Reducing the quorum for the joint scrutiny committee to 6;
- Point 9.3 be re written to make it clearer;
- That the caveat written into the JWA which gives the joint scrutiny committee powers to scrutinise all projects be removed – ie the requirement to seek the permission of local relevant scrutiny committees.

**7. UPDATE ON THE PROGRESS MADE BY THE JOINT COMMITTEE
ON THE ACTION PLAN DEVELOPED IN RESPONSE TO THE
VARIOUS REVIEWS**

The Joint Scrutiny Committee received a presentation from the Chair of the Joint Committee, Councillor Rob Stewart, on the progress of the action plan developed in response to the various reviews.

He advised that the changes to the JWA, as discussed in the previous report, had been agreed by the Joint Committee and would shortly be sent to both UK and Welsh Governments. He advised that he did not expect a further round of negotiations in this regard.

In relation to the Programme Director – the advertisement was now live and it was expected that a suitable candidate would be appointed.

In relation to the Swansea Digital Project and Yr Egin, the final terms and conditions were expected shortly which would enable the Joint Committee to draw down £18m.

A further £18m was available before Christmas but was subject to various conditions being satisfied.

It was noted that Neath Port Talbot had amended its projects and that these would be considered by the Joint Committee in September. In addition, it was noted that the Wellness Centre was being revised by Carmarthenshire County Council.

The first phase of the HAPs project in Swansea was now occupied and the programme was working towards energy positive homes. It was hoped that this would address fuel poverty and that new builds would adopt this method going forward.

Members were pleased that the money would be forthcoming, however, asked why this sum exceed the original amount expected? Cllr Stewart advised that both Swansea and Carmarthen had already spent money on the 2 projects and this would therefore be allocated to those authorities. In addition, the UK and Welsh Governments wished to give the City Deal more flexibility locally. This meant that the Joint Committee would agree where the monies would be allocated. The initial £18m would have the standard terms and conditions attached to it. The second tranche of £18m would probably have terms and conditions attached in relation to the action

plan developed as a result of the reviews undertaken including the appointment of a managing director.

The Joint Scrutiny Committee asked for an update on the implementation plan and was advised that it was in the process of being developed and would evolve throughout the life of the programme.

There was concern about the standard of business cases submitted to both the UK and Welsh Governments, particularly the economic cases, and Members asked what was being done to address this? In response, Members were advised that there had been changes in both the UK and Welsh Governments which had resulted in changes to their expectations and repetition of queries. The five case business model methodology was an issue, which would now be based on a portfolio approach, together with the risk analysis. There would be improved training for the staff involved, in order for them to have the correct skill set to successfully complete the business cases. The regional office would be reviewed by the managing director once appointed. The new process seemed to be working better.

The Chairperson then thanked Cllr. Stewart for his attendance at today's meeting.

8. TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE - ALIGNMENT OF TRANSPORT PLANNING WITH SWANSEA BAY CITY DEAL PROGRAMME.

The Chairperson welcomed Ben George from the City and County of Swansea who gave members a presentation on the transport infrastructure. Members were advised that there had not been any transport projects included in the City Deal programme as at that time rail electrification had been promised. Should transport be added to the programme this would require additional funding. Members then received a presentation on the South West Wales Metro project which was in the process of being developed which included alternative rail routes, etc.

Members asked for details of how the bus network would align itself with the rail network. In addition, the proposed changes to the provision of the bus network generally. They were advised that the authorities involved would need to exercise the 'General Power of Competency' expected in the forthcoming local government legislation and that the services would improve through collaborative

working. It was noted that the UK Government had offered £20m for the Metro however this would not be sufficient. Therefore, representation would be made to both Governments in addition to regionally. Members agreed that prices must be set at an appropriate level and that Neath railway station should remain on the main line.

9. SCRUTINY SUPPORT

It was agreed that future meetings of the Joint Scrutiny Committee be webcast and that the local authorities concerned be contacted with a view to keeping the costs as low as possible. This would then be reviewed in 12 months.

In relation to the survey undertaken, Members were satisfied with the scrutiny support being provided by officers and were invited to provide regular feedback to ensure support remained fit for purpose.

10. FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME

It was agreed that the following additions be made to the forward work programme:

- An additional meeting be convened to visit the Homes as Power Stations site in Neath and, at that meeting, training be arranged for the joint committee on programme management;
- A report by the s151 officer on the terms and conditions required by UK and Welsh Governments be added to the agenda in October;
- A further report in relation to procurement and the local supply chain be added to the October agenda (risk register refers); and
- An update on the regional digital infrastructure programme be added to the January agenda.

CHAIRMAN

This page is intentionally left blank



SWANSEA BAY CITY REGION JOINT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

DATE 28th October 2019

Webcasting of Scrutiny Committee Meetings

RECOMMENDATIONS/KEY DECISIONS

The Joint Scrutiny Committee is invited to adopt the procedural rules it will follow when webcasting its meetings.

REASONS

In the meeting of the Joint Scrutiny Committee held on September 2nd 2019 it was agreed that all future meetings of the Committee would be webcast.

Procedural rules have been established for the Joint Scrutiny Committee to agree which are attached at Appendix 1.

The Joint Scrutiny Committee operates under Neath Port Talbot scrutiny procedural rules and members are directed to read the proposed webcast procedural rules in conjunction with the Neath Port Talbot Scrutiny Procedural Rules. To save amendments to the Neath Port Talbot Scrutiny Procedural Rules, it was considered appropriate to adopt a separate protocol to future Joint Scrutiny Committee meetings in respect of webcasting.

In the event of any conflict, the webcasting procedural rules will take priority.

The webcasts will be made available on each Local Authority webpage and subsequently archived on the host webpage as per their internal arrangements.



OFFICER CONTACT

Name: **Stacy Curran**

Senior Scrutiny and Member
Development Officer (Neath
Port Talbot Council).

Telephone: 01639 763194

Email: s.curran@npt.gov.uk

SWANSEA BAY CITY DEAL JOINT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Protocol for filming and audio recording at public meetings of the Joint Scrutiny Committee.

This protocol provides guidance to Councillors, Officers, members of the public and the media on filming and audio recording at meetings of the Swansea Bay City Deal Joint Scrutiny Committee.

The Joint Scrutiny Committee supports the principles of openness and transparency in the way it conducts its meetings. Filming and audio recording at meetings which are held in public is permitted, subject to the following:

1. The Chair of the Joint Scrutiny Committee will retain full discretion to determine whether the filming or audio recording of the whole or part of a particular hearing will be permitted.
2. The filming and/or audio recording of meetings is permitted provided they do not disrupt or disturb the conduct of the meeting. The decision of the Committee on whether or not the meeting is being disrupted or disturbed by filming or audio recording taking place and consequently, whether the filming or audio recording of the meeting should cease, is final.
3. If necessary, the Chair of a meeting shall specify that filming or audio recording shall only take place from certain positions in the meeting room.
4. The Chair of a meeting shall, at the commencement of the meeting, confirm to all present that the meeting or parts of it may be filmed or audio recorded. This confirmation will also be provided on the Agenda for each meeting and notices confirming this will be placed in conspicuous positions both outside and within the meeting room.
5. In the case of a member of the public or a representative of an external body speaking at meetings, the Chair will ask each such individual to provide their express permission to being filmed or recorded speaking. Filming or audio recording will not take place if an individual objects to the same.
6. If at any meeting, the Councillors present resolve to exclude the press and public due to the likely disclosure of confidential or exempt information, any right to film or audio record the meeting are removed.
7. All of those filming a meeting are requested to focus on only filming Councillors, Officers and those members of the public or individuals from any external bodies who are directly involved in the proceedings of the meeting and not to film people within the public seating area. If the Chair of the meeting considers that the rights of any individual within the public seating area are likely to be



infringed by the continuation of filming, permission to film may be withdrawn, at the absolute discretion of the Chair.

8. In permitting the filming or audio recording of the proceedings at a public meeting, those filming or recording agree not to edit the film/recording in a way that could lead to misinterpretation of the proceedings or could infringe upon the rights of individuals. This includes refraining from editing images or views expressed in a way that may ridicule or demonstrate a lack of respect to those individuals being filmed or recorded.

October 2019

Neath Port Talbot Scrutiny Procedure Rules

1. The number and arrangements for Scrutiny Committees

1.1 The Council will have the Scrutiny Committees set out in Part 3 with the roles and terms of reference as set out therein and will appoint to them as it considers appropriate from time to time.¹ Such Committees may appoint sub-committees.²

1.2 One Committee shall be the “principal” Committee, and shall include the Chairs of the other four Committees. That “principal” Committee shall be known as the “Policy and Resources and Scrutiny Committee” and have a number of overview functions as set out in Part 3, as well as being responsible for any matters not otherwise falling under the purview of the other Committees.

1.3 References in these procedure rules to a Scrutiny Committee include sub-committees of such Committees.

2. Members of Scrutiny Committees

2.1 Full Council shall appoint all Members of Scrutiny Committees in accordance with the wishes of political groups under Part I of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989.

2.2 No members of the executive may be members of a Scrutiny Committee.

2.3 If there are any Deputy Cabinet Advisers they will not be members of the Scrutiny Committee which is responsible for their areas of activity.

3. Co-optees

¹ Section 21 (1) Local Government Act 2000 (“LGA 2000”)

² Section 21 (6) Local Government Act 2000 (“LGA 2000”)



Each Scrutiny Committee shall be entitled to recommend to Council the appointment of a number of people as non-voting co-optees.

4. Education Representatives

4.1 The Scrutiny Committee dealing with education matters shall include in its membership the following voting representatives:

- (a) 1 Church in Wales representative;
- (b) 1 Roman Catholic Church representative;
- (c) 2 Parent Governor representatives

4.2 The Scrutiny Committee in this paragraph is one where the Committee's functions relate wholly or in part to any education functions which are the responsibility of the authority's executive. If the Committee deals with non-education matters, representatives may only attend as co-opted members of the committee for discussion of those other matters if invited to do so by the Chair and shall not vote.

4.3 The Committee shall also include as non-voting co-optees for education functions, 4 Teacher Trade Union representatives. They may only attend for discussion of non-education matters if invited to do so by the Chair.

5. Meetings of the Scrutiny Committees

5.1 Unless otherwise agreed by the Chair and Vice Chair there shall be at least 8 ordinary meetings of each Scrutiny Committee in each year. In addition, extraordinary meetings may be called from time to time by the Chief Executive in consultation with the Chair.

5.2 An extraordinary meeting of the Scrutiny Committee meeting may be called by the Chair of the Committee, by any 5 members of the Committee giving written notice



of a requisition to the Chief Executive or by the Chief Executive if he considers it necessary or appropriate.

6. Quorum

The quorum for a Scrutiny Committee shall be as set out for Committees in the Council Procedure Rules in Part 4 of this Constitution.

7. Appointment of Chairs of Scrutiny Committees

7.1 The Chair of a Scrutiny Committee shall be appointed to that Committee subject to the rules set out in Annex A.

7.2 Where the Chair is appointed by the Chairman and Scrutiny Committee itself the appointment shall be made by simple resolution of the Committee.

7.3 Where there has been a failure to make appointment of Committee Chairs under Section 70 of the Local Government (Wales) Measure 2011 the appointment falls to be made by the Scrutiny Committee under Section 71(5) and (6) of the Measure.

8. Functions of Scrutiny Committees

8.1 The functions of Scrutiny Committees are:-

(a) To review or scrutinise decisions made, or other action taken, in connection with the discharge of any functions which are the responsibility of the executive;

(b) To make reports or recommendations to the authority or the executive with respect to the discharge of any functions which are the responsibility of the executive;

(c) To review or scrutinise decisions made, or other action taken, in connection with the discharge of any functions which are not the responsibility of the executive;

- (d) To make reports or recommendations to the authority or the executive with respect to the discharge of any functions which are not the responsibility of the executive;
- (e) To make reports or recommendations to the authority or the executive on matters which affect the authority's area or the inhabitants of that area (insofar as the committee is not, or committees are not, under a duty to do those things by virtue of Section 22A of the Local Government Act 2000);
- (f) In the case of the Scrutiny Committees or Committees of an authority to which Section 224 of the National Health Service Act 2006 or Section 184 of the National Health Service (Wales) Act 2006 applies, to review and scrutinise, in accordance with regulations under either of those sections, matters relating to the health service (within the meaning given by the Act concerned, and as extended by the section concerned) in the authority's area, and to make reports and recommendations on such matters in accordance with the regulations.
- (g) To make reports or recommendations on matters which relate to Designated Persons and affect the Council's area or the inhabitants of that area.³

8.2 A Designated Person is a person:-

- (a) Who is designated by the Welsh Ministers in accordance with Section 21G of the Local Government Act 2000; or
- (b) Who falls within a category of person so designated.⁴

8.3 The functions of the Scrutiny Committee designated with the powers contained in Section 35 of the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 (the Act) are:

³ Section 21 (2ZA) LGA 2000

⁴ Section 21 (18) LGA 2000



- (a) to review or scrutinise decisions made, or other action taken, by the Public Services Board (hereinafter “the Board”) for the local authority area in the exercise of its functions.⁵
- (b) to review or scrutinise the Board’s governance arrangements.⁶
- (c) to make reports or recommendations to the Board with respect to the Board’s functions or governance arrangements.⁷
- (d) to consider such matters relating to the Board as the Welsh Ministers may refer to it and to report to the Welsh Ministers accordingly.⁸
- (e) to carry out such other functions in relation to the Board as are imposed on it by the Act.⁹
- (f) send a copy of any report or recommendation made under 8.3 (d) above to the Welsh Ministers; the Future Generations Commissioner and the Auditor General for Wales.¹⁰

8.4 In addition the Committee will:-

- (a) Formally receive the Wellbeing Assessment and Wellbeing Plan from the Board;
- (b) Act as statutory consultee for the draft Wellbeing Assessment and draft Wellbeing Plan;
- (c) Formally receive the Board’s annual report
- (d) Review the Wellbeing Plan if directed to by the Welsh Minister.

⁵ Section 35(1)(a) Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 (“WFGWA 2015”)

⁶ Section 35 (1)(b) WFGWA 2015

⁷ Section 35(1)(c) WFGWA 2015

⁸ Section 35(1)(d) WFGWA 2015

⁹ Section 35(1)(e) WFGWA 2015

¹⁰ Section 35(2) WFGWA 2015



8.5 In exercising its functions a Scrutiny Committee must take into account any views brought to its attention.¹¹

8.6 A Scrutiny Committee must take account of guidance issued by the Welsh Ministers.¹²

9. Forward Plan and Other Information

9.1 The Scrutiny Committee/Sub-Committees will be responsible for setting its own work programme and in doing so it shall take into account the wishes of members on that Committee who are not members of the largest political group on the Council.

9.2 The Scrutiny Committees shall comply with regulations made by the Welsh Ministers in relation to the provision of prescribed information about the exercise of Scrutiny functions.¹³

10. Agenda Items

10.1 Any member of a Scrutiny Committee or Sub-Committee shall be entitled to give 8 clear working days written notice before the date of the next meeting to the Chief Executive that he/she wishes an item relevant to the functions of the Committee or Sub-Committee to be included on the agenda for, and be discussed at, a meeting of the Committee or Sub-Committee.¹⁴

10.2 On receipt of such a request, the Chief Executive shall ensure that it is included on the agenda for the next or subsequent meeting (the determination as to which meeting to rest with the Committee chair).

10.3 Any member of the Council who is not a member of a Scrutiny Committee may give 8 working days written notice before the date of the next meeting to the Chief Executive that he/she wishes a local government matter which is relevant to

¹¹ Section 62(3) Local Government Act 2000 (“LGA 2000”)

¹² Section 62(5) Local Government (Wales) Measure 2011

¹³ Section 77 Local Government (Wales) Measure 2011

¹⁴ Section 21A (1) (a) and (b) and Section 21A (2) Local Government Act 2000 (“LGA 2000”)

the functions of the committee to be included on the agenda of the relevant Scrutiny Committee.¹⁵ If the Chief Executive receives such a notification, then he will include the item on the agenda for the next or a subsequent meeting (the determination as to which meeting to rest with the Committee Chair). Such member may then attend the Committee to speak, but not vote, nor move, second or amend any motion on that item.

10.4 In exercising his or her power to give notice requiring an item to be placed on an agenda under paragraph 10.3 the member must have regard to any guidance issued by the Welsh Ministers.¹⁶

10.5 A “local government” matter means a matter:-

- (a) Which relates to the discharge of any function of the Council; or
- (b) Affects all or part of the electoral area for which the Member is elected or any person who lives or works in that area.
- (c) and in either case is not an “excluded matter”.¹⁷

10.6 An “excluded matter” is a matter which comes under Section 19 Police and Justice Act 2006 or a matter of a description specified by order of the Welsh Ministers.

10.7 When a Scrutiny Committee has considered a requisition to place an item on the agenda of a Committee under this Paragraph of these procedure rules the requisition or request shall be advised of the Member or Members who submitted the Committee’s consideration and the reason for such outcome.¹⁸

10.8 Any non-Committee Member may also as of right (subject to any relevant Code provisions) attend in respect of a particular item (or items) of interest/concern with prior notification to the Chief Executive and Chair. The Member may speak, but not move second or amend a motion. The attendance in the latter context is not meant to relate to attendance and participation for all or most of the items on the

¹⁵ Section 21A (1) (c) Local Government Act 2000 (“LGA 2000”)

¹⁶ Section 21A (3) Local Government Act 2000 (“LGA 2000”)

¹⁷ Section 21A (13) Local Government Act 2000 (“LGA 2000”)

¹⁸ Section 21(4) Local Government Act 2000 (“LGA 2000”)

agenda, and if such a request is made, the decision on attendance shall rest with the Committee.

10.9 The agenda of a Scrutiny Committee may include a schedule of forthcoming or other executive issues, and any member of the Committee is entitled to raise any of those issues at the meeting.

10.10 The Scrutiny Committees shall also respond, as their work programme permits, to requests from the Council and if it considers it appropriate the executive, to review particular areas of Council activity. Where they do so, the Scrutiny Committee shall report their findings and any recommendations back to the Council and/or executive.

11. Policy Review and Development

11.1 The executive has the responsibility for proposing the annual budget, and the policies under the policy framework to the full Council – and the role of the Scrutiny Committees in relation to the development of the Council's budget and policy framework is set out in detail in the Budget and Policy Framework Procedure Rules.

11.2 In relation to the development of other matters not forming part of its policy and budget framework, Scrutiny Committee or Sub-Committees may make proposals to the executive for developments in so far as they relate to matters within their terms of reference, but there shall be consultation with the executive to avoid any duplication of work.

11.3 Scrutiny Committees may hold enquiries and investigate the available options for future direction in policy development and may appoint advisers and assessors to assist them in this process. They may go on site visits, conduct public surveys, hold public meetings, commission research and do all other things that they reasonably consider necessary to inform their deliberations. They may ask witnesses to attend to address them on any matter under consideration and may pay to any advisers, assessors and witnesses a reasonable fee and expenses for doing so.

11.4 Once it has formed proposals for development, the Scrutiny Committee shall submit these for consideration by the executive (if the proposals are consistent with the existing budgetary and policy framework), or to the Council as appropriate (e.g. if the recommendation would require a departure from or a change to the agreed budget and policy framework). These recommendations shall be considered at the next available meeting of the executive or the Council, or at such later meeting with



the agreement of the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Committee, or Council, as the case may be.

11.5 If a Scrutiny Committee cannot agree on one single proposal to the Council or executive as appropriate, then up to one minority proposal may be prepared and submitted for consideration by the Council or executive with the majority proposal.

11.6 Scrutiny Committees will have access to the executive's forward work programme for decisions and intentions for consultation. Even where an item is not the subject of detailed proposals from a Scrutiny Committee following a consideration of possible policy/service developments, the committee will be able to respond in the course of the executive's consultation process.

11.7 Both the Executive and Scrutiny Committees shall draw up co-ordinated work programmes where:-

- (a) A Scrutiny Committee will consider appropriate draft policies or plans being drawn up by the Executive under the policy framework.
- (b) A Scrutiny Committee will have a direct involvement in a review of existing policies/procedures and make recommendations to the executive.
- (c) A Scrutiny Committee may be asked by the Executive to join with it in a policy development task, which may not form part of the policy and budget framework.

11.8 Those in 11.7 (a) and 11.7 (b) above would be expected to fall within the Scrutiny Committee Forum itself, whereas that in 11.7 (c) may require an ad-hoc "Policy Development Group", led by a Cabinet Member but involving other Cabinet and Scrutiny Committee members as well.

12. Rights of Scrutiny Committee Members to Gain Access to Documents

12.1 In addition to their rights as councillors, members of scrutiny committees have the additional right to documents, and to notice of meetings as set out in the Access to Information Procedure Rules in Part 4 of this Constitution.



12.2 Nothing in this paragraph prevents more detailed liaison between the Executive and Scrutiny Committee as appropriate depending on the particular matter under consideration.

13. Members and Officers Giving Account

13.1 Any Scrutiny Committee may scrutinise and review decisions made or actions taken in connection with the discharge of any Council functions. As well as reviewing documentation in fulfilling the scrutiny role, it may require any other member of the executive or Officers of the Authority to attend before it to explain in relation to matters within their remit:¹⁹

- (a) Any particular decisions or proposed decisions or series of decisions; and/or
- (b) The extent to which the actions taken implement Council policy; and/or
- (c) Their performance

and it is the duty of those persons to attend if so required. It is expected that Officers asked to attend for the above purposes will be at Chief Officer, Head of Service or Accountable Manager level.

13.2 Where any member or officer is required to attend a Scrutiny Committee under this provision, the chair of that Committee will inform the Chief Executive who shall inform the member or officer in writing giving at least 8 clear working days' notice of the meeting at which he/she is required to attend. The notice will state the nature of the item on which he/she is required to attend to give account and whether any papers are required to be produced for the committee. Where the account to be given to the committee will require the production of a report, then the member or officer concerned will be given sufficient notice to allow for preparation of that documentation.

13.3 Where, in exceptional circumstances, the member or officer is unable to attend on the required date then the Scrutiny Committee shall in consultation with the member or officer arrange an alternative date for attendance.

¹⁹ Section 21(13)(a) Local Government Act 2000 ("LGA 2000")



13.4 It is ultimately the elected executive which will be required to answer questions about its policies and decisions. Officers contributions should as far as possible be confined to questions of fact and explanation relating to policies and decisions, though they can be asked to explain and give reasons for decisions they themselves have taken under delegated authority.

13.5 Attendance by members of the Neath Port Talbot Public Services Board (the Board)

13.6 The Committee designated with the powers contained in Section 35 of the Well-being of Future Generations Act 2015 (the Act) can require any statutory member of the Board to give evidence, but only in respect of the exercise of joint functions conferred on them as a statutory member of the Board under the Act. This includes any person that has accepted an invitation to participate in the activity of the Board.

13.7 The statutory members of the Board are:

- (a) Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (Leader and Chief Executive);
- (b) Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University Health Board (either the Chairman, Chief Executive or both);
- (c) Mid and West Wales Fire and Rescue Service (either the Chairman, Chief Officer or both);
- (d) Natural Resources Wales (Chief Executive).

14. Attendance by Cabinet Members

14.1 There would also be attendance by the relevant Cabinet members as a standing arrangement on policy, budget or other forthcoming issues, in order to provide evidence and information for the Scrutiny Committee, and to ensure the “executive” and “scrutiny” works constructively and inclusively together in the best interests of the Council and its communities.



14.2 In particular Cabinet Members may attend any meeting of a Scrutiny Committee which is undertaking pre-scrutiny of any proposed executive decision.

15. Attendance by Others

15.1 A Scrutiny Committee may invite people other than those people referred to in paragraph 13 above to address it, discuss issues of local concern and/or answer questions. It may for example wish to hear from residents, stakeholders and members and officers in other parts of the public sector and shall invite such people to attend. Attendance is entirely voluntary.

15.2 A Scrutiny Committee shall make arrangements to enable all persons who live or work in the area of the Council to bring to the attention of the Committee their views on any matter under consideration by the Committee.

15.3 These arrangements shall include the publication on the Council's website of the forward work programme of the Committee and any agenda for a meeting of the Committee and or the publication of the agenda in accordance with the statutory rules contained in the Local Government Act 1972.

15.4 Persons who live or work in the Council's area may submit written representations on any matter under consideration by the Committee by submission to the Chief Executive up until one clear day before any relevant meeting of the Committee and these representations shall be reported to the Committee either in full or in summary at the discretion of the Chair.

15.5 In making any report or recommendations the Committee shall comply with Section 21F of the Local Government Act 2000.

16. Scrutiny of Designated Persons

16.1 A Scrutiny Committee may (in connection with the making of a report or recommendations of a kind referred to in paragraph 8.1(g):-



- (a) require a Designated Person to provide the committee with information except information that relates to an excluded matter.
- (b) require an officer, employee or other representative of a Designated Person to attend meetings of the Committee except in relation to an excluded matter.²⁰
- (c) For the definition of Designated Person see paragraph 8.2.
- (d) An excluded matter is (for the purposes of Paragraphs 16.1(a) and 16.1(b)) any matter with respect to which a Crime and Disorder Committee (being the Policy and Resources Cabinet Committee of the Council) could make a report or recommendations under Section 19(1)(b) and (3)(a) of the Police and Justice Act 2006.
- (e) Paragraphs 16.1(a) and 16.1(b) do not require a Designated Person to provide any information which is not reasonably required in connection with the making of the report or recommendation.²¹

17. Call-in

17.1 When a decision is made by the executive, a committee of the executive or an individual member of the executive, a summary of the decision shall be circulated by the Chief Executive (normally within 2 days of the decision being made and where possible by electronic means) to all members of the relevant Scrutiny Committee (with copies to all other members of Council).

17.2 That notice containing the decision summary will bear the date on which it is published and will specify that the decision will come into force, and may then be implemented, on the expiry of 3 days after the publication of the decision, unless a Scrutiny Committee, or the requisite number of members thereof (referred to in paragraph 17.3 below) objects to it and calls it in.

17.3 Except as provided in Paragraph 17.11 if the Chief Executive shall receive during the call in period a request:-

²⁰ Section 21(13(c) LGA 2000

²¹ Section 21 (15A) Local Government Act 2000 ("LGA 2000")



- (a) by virtue of a decision of a relevant Scrutiny Committee, or
- (b) by three or more members of a relevant Scrutiny Committee together with the Chair (or in the absence of the Chair the Vice Chair), or
- (c) by one third or more of the Members of a relevant Scrutiny Committee

The Chief Executive shall convene a meeting of the relevant Scrutiny Committee on such date as it agreed with the Chair (or Vice Chair in the absence of the chair) (but in any case not later than seven working days of the decision or request for call in

17.4 Where it is not possible in the time available for the requisite number of members to call-in the decision, the Chair (or in absence the Vice Chair) may allow the call-in if it is considered that the circumstances so warrant a call-in.

17.5 For the purposes of Paragraph 17.3 a member for the above purposes shall be a voting member of the Committee and a Scrutiny Committee and a Scrutiny Committee will be the relevant Committee where the proposed decision comes within its terms of reference.

17.6 Having considered the decision, the Scrutiny Committee may refer it back to the decision making body or person for reconsideration, setting out in writing the nature of its concerns or refer the matter to full Council under the provisions of Article 6.1 If referred to the decision maker, that body or person shall then reconsider within a further 10 working days (or such other time as may be agreed the decision maker with the Chair – or in absence Vice Chair – of the Scrutiny Committee) amending the decision or not, before adopting a final decision.

17.7 If following the call-in, the Scrutiny Committee decides not to refer the matter back to the decision making body or person; the decision shall take effect on the date of the Scrutiny Meeting.

17.8 If the matter was referred to full Council and the Council does not object to a decision which has been made, then no further action is necessary and the decision will be effective in accordance with the provision below. However, if the Council does object, the Council will refer any decision to which it objects back to the



decision making person or body, together with the Council's views on the decision. That decision making body or person shall choose whether to amend the decision or not before reaching a final decision and implementing it. Where the decision was taken by the executive as a whole or a committee of it, a meeting will be convened to reconsider within 10 working days of the Council request. Ultimately, a decision which is within the definition of executive functions, and which is in accordance with the policy and financial framework agreed by the Council, will be one for the executive to take.

17.9 If the Council does not refer the decision back to the decision making body or person, the decision will become effective on the date of the Council meeting.

17.10 There can only be one call-in of the particular executive decision. If the decision is reconsidered by the decision maker under the procedures above, the decision then made after reconsideration shall be final and may be implemented immediately.

17.11 Exceptions to call-in

The call in procedures above shall not apply in the following cases:-

(a) Where the decision being taken by the Cabinet or a Cabinet Committee is urgent. A decision will be urgent if any delay likely to be caused by the call-in process would prejudice the interests of the Council or the public interest. The formal record of the decision, and the summary sent to Scrutiny members shall state the opinion of the decision making body that the decision is an urgent one, and therefore not subject to call-in. The other provisions in the Access to Information Rules shall apply to the decision record. However, the decision may only be taken if the chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee (or in absence the Vice Chair) decides to allow the decision to proceed for implementation as a matter of urgency. Decisions taken as a matter of urgency must be reported to the next available meeting of the relevant Scrutiny Committee.

(b) In respect of Officers executive decisions under their delegated urgency powers (Part 3 of this Constitution). A decision will be urgent if any delay likely to be caused by the call-in process would prejudice the interests of the Council or the public interest. The formal record of the decision shall state the opinion of the officer that the decision shall state the opinion of the officer that the decision is an urgent one, and therefore not subject to call-in. The other provisions of the Access to Information Rules shall apply to the decision record. However, the decision may only

be taken if the chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee (or in the absence the Vice Chair) decides to allow the decision to proceed for implementation as a matter of urgency. Where an Officer takes a decision under his delegated urgency powers there shall be consultation and in respect of the decision with the Leader and relevant Cabinet member (or in the absence of either or both, any two Cabinet members). Decisions taken as a matter of urgency must be reported to the next available meeting of the Cabinet or Cabinet Committee and relevant Scrutiny Committee. The urgency action shall include the standard compliance statement.

- (c) In respect of other (non-urgency) Officer executive or non-executive decisions under delegated powers.
- (d) Where Cabinet or Cabinet Committees take an executive decision, implementation of which is deferred pending consultation with the relevant Scrutiny Committee and whereby subsequently there are no objections or alternative proposals raised at the Committee under that consultation process by a majority of the Committee members present. On this basis, the call-in procedure will not apply to the executive decision which can be implemented immediately following the Scrutiny meeting. However, if there are any objections or alternative proposals by a majority of the Committee members present, the matter will be referred back to Cabinet or Cabinet Committee to consider those views.
- (e) Where the Cabinet, a Cabinet Committee or an officer take an executive decision which is contrary to the Council's policy framework or contrary to or not wholly in accordance with the budget approved by full Council if the decision is a matter of urgency. A decision will be urgent if any delay likely to be caused by the call-in process would prejudice the Council's or the publics' interests. However, the decision may only be taken if it is not practical to convene a quorate meeting of the full Council; and if the chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee (or in his/her absence the Mayor or Deputy Mayor) decides to allow the decision to proceed for implementation as a matter of urgency. Where an Officer takes a decision here under his delegated urgency powers, there shall be consultation in respect of the decision with the Leader and relevant Cabinet member (or in the absence of either or both, any two Cabinet members). The reasons why it is not practical to convene a quorate meeting of full Council and the agreement to allow the decision to proceed for implementation as a matter of urgency must be noted on the record of the decision. Following the decision, the decision taker will provide a full report to the next available Council meeting explaining the decision, the reasons for it and why the decision was treated as a matter of urgency.

17.12 Members who have requested that a decision be called in shall be advised of the outcome of that call-in.



17.13 The operation of the provisions relating to call-in and urgency shall be monitored, and a report submitted to Council with proposals for review if necessary.

18. The Party Whip

18.1 Section 78(1) of the Local Government (Wales) Measure 2011 provides that a Member of a Scrutiny Committee must not vote on a question at a meeting of that Committee if before the meeting the Member has been given a party whip relating to the question (known as prohibited party whip).

18.2 The statutory definition of a party whip is reproduced at paragraph 18.6.

18.3 Any vote is given in breach of the rule declared in paragraph 18.1 must be disregarded.

18.4 It is for the person chairing the meeting of a Scrutiny Committee to determine whether a member of the Committee has been given a prohibited party whip in relation to the meeting.

18.5 At each meeting of a Scrutiny Committee each Member must declare any prohibited party whip which the Member has been given in relation to the meeting and the minutes of the meeting shall record all such declarations.

18.6 The definition of party whip in Section 81(10) of the Local Government (Wales) Measure 2011 is:

“party whip means an instruction (however expressed) which:-

- (a) is given on behalf of a political group on a local authority;
- (b) is given to a person (P) who is:-
 - (i) a member of the political group, and



(c) is an instruction as to how P should vote on a question falling to be decided by the committee; and

(d) if not complied with by P, would be likely to make P liable to disciplinary action by the political group which gives the instruction;

“political group” means a group of members of a local authority that is a political group for the purposes of Part 1 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989.”

19. Procedure at Scrutiny Committee Meetings

19.1 Scrutiny Committees and sub-committees shall consider the following business:-

(a) Minutes of the last meeting;

(b) Consideration of any matter referred to the committee for a decision in relation to call-in of a decision;

(c) Responses of the executive to proposals of the Scrutiny Committee; and, in the case of the committee designated with the powers contained in Section 35 of the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015, responses of Board to the Committee’s reports and/or recommendations; and

(d) the business otherwise set out on the agenda for the meeting.

19.2 Where the Scrutiny Committee conducts investigations (e.g. with a view to policy development), the committee may also ask people to attend to give evidence at committee meetings which are to be conducted in accordance with the following principles:



- (a) That the investigation is conducted fairly and all members of the committee be given the opportunity to ask questions of attendees, and to contribute and speak;
- (b) That those assisting the committee by giving evidence be treated with respect and courtesy; and
- (c) That the investigation be conducted so as to maximise the efficiency of the investigation or analysis.

19.3 Following an investigation or review, the committee/sub-committee shall prepare a proposal for submission to the executive and/or Council as appropriate, and shall make its proposal and findings public.

19.4 No member may be involved in scrutinising a decision in which he/she has been directly involved.

20. Matters Within the Remit of More Than One Scrutiny Committee

Where a Scrutiny Committee conducts a review or scrutinises a matter which also falls (whether in whole or in part) within the remit of another Scrutiny Committee, then the Committee conducting the review may invite the Chair of the other committee (or his/her nominee), to attend its meetings when the matter is being reviewed or joint sessions of the Committee may be arranged.

21. Publication of Reports Recommendations and Responses Confidential and Exempt Information

In publishing any report recommendation or responses a Scrutiny Committee shall comply with the provisions of Section 21D of the Local Government Act 2000.



SWANSEA BAY CITY REGION JOINT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

DATE 28th October 2019

Programme Monitoring

RECOMMENDATIONS/KEY DECISIONS

For Members of the Joint Scrutiny Committee to note and scrutinise the Action Plan, Project Issue Log and Risk Register that are contained within the report.

The implementation plan remains unchanged from the previous version, received at the last meeting. This is attached for Members information.

REASONS

In the last meeting of the Joint Scrutiny Committee, Members requested that the Action Plan, Implementation Plan and Risk Register be a standing item on the agenda to ensure that the progress is monitored.

BACKGROUND

Following the independent and internal reviews, an action plan was developed to take forward the review recommendations. The attached action plan provides an update on progress being made against each of the recommendations.

The recommendations detailed within the action plan have emphasised the need for an implementation plan which forms the basis for monitoring the delivery of the Swansea Bay City Deal



Programme and a risk register which details the risks associated with the delivery of the Programme. These documents have been attached to provide the Scrutiny Committee with the opportunity to scrutinise the delivery of the programme.

OFFICER CONTACT

Name: **Charlotte Davies**

Democratic Services Officer
(Neath Port Talbot Council).

Telephone: 01639 763745

Email: c.l.davies2@npt.gov.uk



SBCD Governance Review Recommendations – Action Plan

Internal Regional Review

REF	Recommendation	Action	Timescale	Progress Update - 10th October 2019
IR1	Redistribution of roles and functions to ensure an equitable balance across the SBCD Partnership, each acting as a check and balance for the other	See XR4 - External Review Tab		See XR4 - External Review Tab
IR2	Appointment of an independent Programme Director, securing the independence of the Lead Officer responsible for the Regional Office with a direct reporting line to the Joint Committee. Reconsideration of the funding arrangement for the RO could enable the associated costs to be contained within existing commitments	See XR4 - External Review Tab		See XR4 - External Review Tab
IR3	The local approach to the delivery of the SBCD projects needs to take account of the interdependencies across the Programme. Consideration should also be given to contingency plans if Government funding is withdrawn at a later date.	Establish Portfolio Management Office (PMO) to ensure the SBCD is managed as a portfolio of projects (XR2) Ensure SBCD is managed as a Portfolio (XR6)		See XR2 - External Review Tab See XR6 - External Review Tab
IR4	The Implementation Plan needs to be revised so that delivery of the projects is prioritised and approved by the Joint Committee. The Implementation Plan should be supported by a clear Programme Financial Plan and Risk Register before being resubmitted to UK & WG for approval. The Implementation Plan should form the basis for monitoring delivery of the Programme.	Update Implementation Plan Identify next tranche of priority projects Submit updated Implementation Plan to Govs Ensure Risk Register is reviewed regularly.	Mar-19 Mar-19 Mar-19 Ongoing	Complete Agreement at Programme Board on 20.09.19 that tranching will be fluid to reflect project developments Complete Complete
IR5	The Joint Committee, as a conduit for regeneration of the Region, needs to further establish its own identity in terms of overarching standard operating principles, values and expected practice. Key areas for consideration are highlighted within the CIPFA/SOLACE Delivering Good Governance in Local Government Framework 2016 for such a Partnership	Agree and establish overarching operating principles PD / PMO to ensure all aspects of the SBCD governance structure are familiar with and operating in accordance with the agreed overarching operating principles. Ensure PMO receive any training as required to achieve	Aug-19 Oct-19 Oct-19 onwards	
IR6	If the iterative process continues to cause a bottleneck once standards have been addressed, then there should be an approach to UK & WG to reconsider the process to eliminate disproportionate effort by all parties and to ensure that focus is on the deliverability of outcomes and not only on the standard of written documents. The relationship between individual LA's, project leads, the Regional Office and UK and WG's should be recast to establish strict communication lines. Such communication is currently inconsistent and is clearly contributing to confusion and delay.	See XR1 - External Review Tab See IR8 below		See XR1 - External Review Tab See IR8 below

IR7	The Programme Board, Economic Strategy Board (ESB) and Joint Committee should receive written assurance (in a format to be agreed) that each business case submitted for approval has been subject to the required checks and process as defined within the JCA, including approval by the Lead Local Authority. This should ensure that all comments from UK & WG have been addressed and concerns highlighted by the ESB have been fully considered. There should be an evidence trail to ensure all parties are held accountable.	Develop a checklist to accompany future business case submission for formal review to all committees	Mar-19	Complete
IR8	The Regional Office, in its capacity as the SBCD Delivery Team should undertake detailed checks prior to entering into the iterative process or submitting to Programme Board and ESB, to ensure compliance with standard operating principles/values and provide an overview of the outcome of these checks, in order to provide independent assurance to the Programme Board and Joint Committee.	Review current iterative / informal review process and identify any opportunities for improvement.	Aug-19	New review process established and trialled to varying degrees with Pembroke Dock Marine, Homes as Power Stations and Supporting Innovation and Low Carbon Growth. Proposal to be submitted to Joint Committee on 29.10.19 recommending the additional £100k resource funding from Welsh Government is used, in part, to fund peer reviews as part of new review process.
IR9	Membership and remit of the Programme Board and ESB needs to be reconsidered	See XR4 - External Review Tab		
IR9a	Programme Board needs to undertake detailed analysis of the financial viability, deliverability and risks to the project. The Programme Board should have detailed knowledge of the business cases and the feedback from UK & Welsh Government to ensure that business cases are of the standard and quality to be submitted for approval to Joint Committee. Current membership includes the Chief Executives of the four Local Authorities: this may be too onerous a commitment for the Chief Executives. Consideration should be given to the most suitable level of Management to commit to Programme Board (possibly Director or appropriate Head of Service), consideration should be given to including a Section 151 Officer to provide financial scrutiny and challenge and appearance of lead project officers to present the case.			
IR9b	The ESB membership needs to be streamlined to enable a well functioning commercially minded appraisal function that is focused on identifying further opportunities for the Region and attracting inward investment. Current membership includes the Leaders of the four Local Authorities, which seems impractical given the ESB report to the Joint Committee. Consideration should be given to limiting membership of the ESB to the Private Sector, supported by Life Science & Wellbeing and Further/Higher Education representatives, and the Regional Office Lead. There is an opportunity for the ESB to provide UK & WG with the confidence that is currently lacking around the commercial case; consideration could be given to including a summary report from the ESB with the Full Business Case submission.			

For reference:- ACTICA Independent Review

Ref	Recommendation	Urgency	Action	Updated Timescale	Progress Update - 10th October 2019
XR1	Pre-scrutiny should be encouraged but direct and regular face-to-face contact between those writing the Business Cases and those providing comment upon them and advising those who will grant approval is essential.	Urgent by end March 2019	Arrange review sessions with Economic Strategy Board and Project leads as projects progress through business case development	n/a	No further update
			Arrange review sessions with Governments and Project Leads as projects progress through business case development	n/a	Policy session held with Skills and Talent project held on 20.09.10.
			Ensure reviewers have early sight of completed draft full business cases	n/a	Digital leads review of Digital Infrastructure Business Case – Complete (24.09.19)
XR2	The Regional Office should be designated as a Portfolio Management Office, leavening their skills with experienced Portfolio/Programme/Project Management (P3M) specialists.	Important by end June 2019	Establish portfolio management office under new Portfolio Director	Oct-19	Programme director applications closed 7th October. Shortlisting to be completed October 2019. Interviews scheduled for early Nov.
			Develop new PMO structure	Oct-19	No further update
			Review and agree budget to facilitate new PMO	Jul-19	No further update
XR3	The City Team should (with the support of the Welsh Government Assurance Hub and IPA as necessary) put in place a best practice Integrated Assurance and Approval Plan (IAAP) for the Portfolio. All parties should specifically consider the OGC Gateway™ Review process as a key part of that plan.	Important by end March 2019	New Portfolio Director and PMO to consider relevance of Gateway Review Process	Oct-19	Proposal to be submitted to Joint Committee on 29.10.19 suggesting the additional £100k resource funding from Welsh Government is used, in part, to fund the development of an IAAP.
XR4	Under the chair of the JSC each SBCD board should consider the TORs and ways of working of each to ensure that they work as intended. In doing so they should take account of this review and of the outcome of the audits currently being undertaken.	Important by end March 2019	Each board to review TOR's and agree any changes	Jun-19	Complete
			Review and agree distribution of functions	Jun-19	Complete
			Update JCA to reflect any changes	End of June 2019	Complete
			Develop process for and invite EOIs from potential advisors to supplement and broaden the role of the ESB	Jun-19	Complete
			Advertise for specialist advisors the ESB	Jul-19	Complete - shortlisting of applications completed 08.10.19, informal interviews to be held nov 19
XR5	A Portfolio Director should be appointed before May 2019 to ensure continuity of Swansea Bay City Deal leadership and independent authoritative advice to the Boards.	Urgent by end April 2019	Develop and agree job description	Jun-19	Complete
			Identify and agree salary and associated budget		Complete
			Advertise post	Jun-19	Complete
			Identify appointments panel	Jul-19	Complete
XR6	The SBCD should be managed as a Portfolio not as a set of predetermined and immutable projects.	Important by end June 2019	Establish portfolio management office under new Portfolio Director	n/a ongoing	No further update
XR7	For Yr Egin and Swansea Waterfront, the two business cases which we consider are close to final approval, senior UK Government and Welsh Government and Local Authority officials should aim to reach a swift conclusion to ensure that funding can flow as needed.	Immediate	Continue to push for immediate sign off of the first two business cases and release of the first £31m of City Deal funding.	n/a	Awaiting funding agreement and terms and conditions.

Mae'r dudalen hon yn fwriadol wag



Key
New Issue
Resolved since last update
Ongoing Issues

SBCD Project Issue Log – October 2019

The project issue log highlights current pressing issues and risks currently or potentially have a significant or immediate affect to overall City Deal programme and/or project delivery including delays to project development, implementation or achievement of outcomes for example. The issue log should be considered alongside the wider project risk register (where available) and the SBCD programme risk register.

Tudalen 41

Skills and Talent				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update
Business Case Development	A considerable amount of work has already been undertaken to identify the skills needs but we cannot move ahead further without the commitment of funding. Working with new Government officials on a revised approach to business planning	WG / UKG	Delay in business case / funding approval will mean that the skills training required for other projects who have received approval may not be progressed or progressed at risk.	
Digital Infrastructure				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update
Project management resource	Dedicated digital project manager is required. Identified project leads in each of the partner organisations is also required.	Project lead authority	Pace of delivery will be compromised without a digital project manager.	Currently no resource available.
Changes in UK Government Policy	Government policy will possibly be substantially enhanced following pre-PM announcements from Boris Johnson.	Mike Galvin	This will impact the Digital Project, and possibly impact the existing business case.	It is a case of staying close to the UK government actions and announcements on this and see how/if broadband policy and funding arrangements change. Currently the pre prime minister appointment statements from Boris Johnson are aspirational and the reality of any changes, especially around funding, are yet to be seen.
Swansea Waterfront and Digital District				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update
Funding approval	Approval of Council funding is dependent on Government sign off of City Deal business case.	SBCD / UKG / WG	Delay to approval of City Deal funding will impact on the delivery timescales for the projects	Announcement of business case approval in principle on 15 th July 2019. Awaiting full details of approval to be communicated. terms and conditions.
Terms and Conditions	The approval of the initial funding is subject to terms and conditions, but these terms and conditions have not yet been provided by Governments	Swansea Council / UKG / WG	Unable to finalise the funding package and seek approval of final funding package from Cabinet without terms and conditions.	Terms and conditions to be provided by Government

Yr Egin - Creative Digital Cluster				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update
Funding approval	Yr Egin phase one has been completed and is fully occupied	SBCD / UKG / WG	Delay in approval of City Deal funding will increasingly impact on project delivery timetable for phase 2.	Announcement of business case approval in principle on 15th July 2019. Awaiting terms and conditions.

Centre of Excellence in Next Generation Services (CENGs)				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update
Outstanding funding approval	£3m ERDF secured as match funding. Deadline of Dec 2022 to spend the ERDF	GN	Risk to European funding	Technology Centre is identified in the revised programme business case 'Supporting Innovation and Low Carbon Growth' to be reviewed by the City Deal Economic Strategy Board and Joint Committee. Policy workshop with UKG and WG held on 6/9/19 – NPT to address areas raised and revise business case. Follow up workshop proposed for end of October

Life Science and Well-being Campuses				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update
None reported as at 11 October 2019				

Life Science and Well-being Village				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update
Delay in phase 1 funding	Funding for phase 1 will need to be confirmed in line with procurement of a construction contractor.	Project lead	Potential delay in September 2021 'go live' date for education, skills and training components.	Chair of Joint Committee confirmed at JC meeting on 28 th March 2019 that no City Deal project is on hold following completion of reviews. Revised business case informally reviewed by ESB in July 2019.
Full Business Case (FBC)	Delay in confirming higher education partner	Project lead	Delay of City Deal FBC submission, with consequential impact on programme	Discussions held with higher education partners. Letter of intent sought.
General Election	General Election is called prior to, or following, the UK's proposed departure from the EU (currently 31st October 2019).	Project lead	Purdah is enforced, deferring the approval and/or funding process for City Deal projects	It is aimed to submit the FBC to Economic Strategy Board and Joint Committee in October.
Project investment	Investment from financial market not realised or maximised	Project lead	Full scope of project not realised. Potential impact on benefits realisation.	Financial appraisal of the project has been undertaken and confirms the investability with reasonable confidence of the whole project. Information Memorandum compiled and will be issued to a shortlist of financial institutions to seek expressions of interest.
Adverse media	Ongoing investigation generates negative PR	Project lead	Negative impact on how the project is perceived	The Council is cooperating fully with the investigators.

Homes as Power Stations				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update
Funding approval	Funding assurance required to support match funding bids for ERDF and IHP funding	SBCD / UKG / WG	Until formal approval of City Deal business case is received project can only provide assurance in principle which may pose a risk to securing match funding	Workshop held with UK and Welsh Government in July 2019. Agreed next steps to progress business case for formal submission in mid Autumn.

Pembroke Dock Marine				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update
NNDR	Clarity required on NNDR arrangements specifically how NNDR will be apportioned	Acc. Body / Project authority lead	Project viability is subject to discussions relating to NNDR	SBCD Section 151 in discussion with UK and Welsh Government. Meeting to be convened between Leaders and Chief Executives to discuss.
Project delivery timescale	Clarity required on when the five year delivery period begins	JC	Will ensure project implementation and benefits realisation timescales are accurate.	To be considered by Joint Committee.
!!! Funding / borrowing	There has been no recognisable progress towards resolving the funding / borrowing for the project	Project lead, project lead authority, SBCD, UKG & WG	The lack of resolution of this one issue is putting the entire Pembroke Dock Marine project in jeopardy. Other sources of funding including circa £16m ERDF are time limited and due to the ongoing delay there is a very high risk this will be lost.	Pembroke Dock Marine project identified as a Tranche 2 project for development at Joint Committee on 28th March 2019. We and our project partners continue to undertake significant work at risk in order to mitigate the effect of the delay. Several discussions have taken place to address the funding gap for the project.

Factory of the Future				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update

Steel Science				
Issue	Description	Owner	Implications	Action / Update

Mae'r dudalen hon yn fwriadol wag



Implementation Plan

The Swansea Bay City Deal Implementation Plan has been developed to set out the high level activities that will support the delivery of the Swansea Bay City Deal (SBCD).

The Plan outlines the work of the Joint Committee and supporting structures including programme governance, stakeholder engagement and programme implementation, monitoring and evaluation, as well as indicative milestones for the SBCD Programme and its eleven SBCD projects.

The Joint Committee will review the Implementation Plan annually, with the first review to take place no later than one year after the approval of the Implementation Plan. Reviews in later years will take place no later than one year after the previous review.

Date Approved: ,,,,

v.21



Swansea Bay City Deal

1.0 Summary of Programme

The Swansea Bay City Region covers the four local authority areas of Carmarthenshire, Neath Port Talbot, Pembrokeshire and Swansea.

Based on the Swansea Bay City Region Economic Regeneration Strategy 2013-2030, the Swansea Bay City Deal sets out an integrated, transformational approach to delivering the scale and nature of investment needed to support plans for growth in the Region.


As outlined in the *Internet Coast*, the Strategic Vision for the Swansea Bay City Region is to create a super smart innovation region which will inform and advance solutions to some of the most pressing challenges of modern times in the areas of economic acceleration, smart manufacturing, energy, and life science and well-being.

The Swansea Bay City Deal is a total investment of £1.3 billion over a period of 15 years. This consists of £241m from UK and Welsh Governments, £396m from other public sector funding and £637m from the private sector.

The City Deal provides a once in a generation opportunity to further consolidate the Region's role in technological innovation through creating a region of interconnected testbeds and living laboratories, designed not only for proof of concept but also for proof of business.

Using the transformational powers of next generation digital technologies, and supported by a programme of tailored skills development, the City Deal will accelerate the Region's innovation, technological and commercialisation capabilities to support the establishment and growth of local innovation businesses and inward investors.

The strands of innovation, skills, and digital infrastructure create synergies across the four themes and eleven projects of the SBCR City Deal as outlined in the diagram below. Together, these will establish the Region as a lead innovator in developing and commercialising solutions to some of the most pressing challenges through digital innovations and a programme of skills which are tailored to business needs.



The Swansea Bay City Deal is an excellent example of partnership working between councils, universities and education providers, health boards, UK and Welsh Governments, and the business community. It demonstrates what collaboration and joined-up thinking can achieve for our citizens.

The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 - the City Deal is a good example of the 5 Ways of Working promoted by the Act and will make a significant contribution to the 7 well-being goals.

Being a 15-year programme, the Swansea Bay City Deal provides an excellent opportunity to address persistent challenges such as climate change, poverty, inequality, jobs and skills and rurality in a transformational and preventative way.

It is also an opportunity for the four authorities and City Deal to demonstrate how they are using the 5 Ways of Working to maximize their contribution to the well-being goals required under the Act, through a major public and private sector investment programme.

The Regional Office has already been in early discussions with the Office of the Future Generations Commissioner in Cardiff to explore at the outset how the SBCD projects can use the Act as a framework at the early design stage, the idea being to strengthen the contribution of each SBCD project towards the 7 Goals and improve the 5 Ways of Working as the project is developed as part of the 5 case business model.

The 5 Ways of Working principles will be used as a starting point for helping projects to consider how they can involve people in the development, who they should be collaborating with (unusual as well as usual stakeholders to bring a different perspective), and what the long-term trends are that need to be considered, or can be addressed, through the projects.

The Office of the Future Generations Commissioner in Cardiff has developed a specific framework based on the Five Ways of Working and the Seven Well-being Goals. This Framework will allow SBCD Project Leads to use the Act to shape the development and assessment of SBCD projects and to drive their design and implementation. It has been shared with each of the Project Leads to assist in identifying improvements that need to be made to ensure the project adheres to the WFG Act and maximises the future benefits of the Swansea Bay City Deal Programme.

2.0 Outcomes and benefits

The aim of the Swansea Bay City Deal is to create an outward-looking Region with the innovation capacity and infrastructure to inform and advance solutions to grand challenges that are both real locally and also exist in almost every region of the world.

By 2035 we will:


- Transform the regional economy
- Establish and maintain an effective and aligned skills base
- Create, prove and commercialise new technologies and ideas
- Be a recognised regional centre of excellence in:
 - Application of digital technologies
 - Life Science and Well-being
 - Energy
 - Advanced manufacturing

It is estimated that, at the end of the programme period, the Swansea Bay City Deal will lead to:

- Funding of £1.3 billion generated for interventions to support economic growth across the Region of which over £600 million will be private sector investment
- 11 projects
- An overall increase to the Region's economy of over 9,465 high skilled jobs
- A contribution to Regional GVA of £1.8 billion
- Investment spread across the whole of the Region to ensure all localities and citizens can benefit

In addition to the above outcomes, the City Deal will also have wider social and economic benefits at both a programme wide and project sector specific level. The full detail of all outcomes and benefits of the City Deal will be agreed with the UK Government and Welsh Government and will be set out in the SBCD Monitoring and Evaluation Plan that will provide details of how these will be captured, monitored and evaluated over the programme period

3.0 Programme Governance



3.1 Joint Committee

The Joint Committee comprises the four local authority Leaders of Carmarthenshire, Neath Port Talbot, Pembrokeshire and Swansea councils.

The Joint Committee will be chaired by a local authority Leader, and it has been agreed by the four councils that the Leader of Swansea Council will take on this responsibility. The Chair of the Joint Committee will be elected for a two year term in the first instance, reviewed annually thereafter.

The Joint Committee has ultimate responsibility and accountability for decisions taken in relation to the Swansea Bay City Deal, in line with the visions and interests of all participating parties and the Swansea Bay City Deal document signed on 20th March, 2017.

Since the signing of the Heads of Terms document, in order to maintain momentum, the Joint Committee has been operating in shadow form. The first formal meeting of this committee took place on 31st August 2018 when each of the four local authorities signed the Joint Committee Agreement, the legal document which sets out how the councils will work together.

The head of paid service, monitoring officer and section 151 officer of each of the Councils shall be entitled to attend meetings of the Joint Committee as an adviser and shall not have a vote.

The Leaders have agreed to co-opt to the Joint Committee the Chair of the Economic Strategy Board, and one representative each from the University of Wales Trinity Saint David, Swansea University, Hywel Dda University Health Board and Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University Health Board. Voting rights will be reserved for the four local authority Leaders.

The Joint Committee will meet on a monthly basis and, as set out in the Joint Committee Agreement, its functions include:

- Identifying and implementing appropriate governance structures for the implementation of any projects within the Swansea Bay City Deal programme. This shall include the formation of bodies corporate and any other structures which the Councils can lawfully establish or participate in;
- Agreeing and planning the overall strategy for and delivery of the programme for the Swansea Bay City Deal;
- Performance management of the Swansea Bay City Deal programme;
- Strategic communications;
- Monitoring of the impact of the Swansea Bay City Deal programme and reporting on this to the Councils;
- Authorising the Accountable Body to commission external support and to oversee the delivery and management of project expenditure;
- Progressing a regional approach for the Swansea Bay City Region for the discharge of strategic functions. These functions may include land use planning, transport planning and economic development;
- Approval and adoption of the Implementation Plan;
- Approval of any extension agreed by the Councils to the deadline for approval of the Implementation Plan;
- Agreeing the terms and conditions of Government Funding;
- Overseeing the proportion of each Council's responsibility for borrowing to provide funding for regional projects;
- Reviewing performance of the Chair of the Economic Strategy Board on an annual basis;
- Agreeing the Annual Costs Budget;
- Following the process as set out in the Joint Committee Agreement, the Joint Committee shall consider the project business case and the recommendations of the Programme Board and the Economic Strategy Board, and shall decide whether or not to approve the project for submission to the UK Government and Welsh Government for approval by the UK Government and Welsh Government for the release of government funding for the project.

3.2 Economic Strategy Board

Reporting to the Joint Committee, the Economic Strategy Board will represent the wider community, including the private sector.

The Economic Strategy Board will act as the voice of business and will provide strategic direction for the Swansea Bay City Deal, through advice to the Joint Committee on matters relating to the Swansea Bay City Region. It will have a role in advising the Joint Committee on opportunities to strengthen the City Deal's impact.

The Chair will be accountable to the Joint Committee. The Economic Strategy Board will not have any formal decision-making powers and it will reach agreement by consensus.

The Economic Strategy Board will meet with the following frequency or as and when required:

- Quarterly in advance of any Joint Committee meeting; and
- When necessary to deal with business as agreed by the Chair of the Economic Strategy Board.

The Regional Office will arrange for minutes of the proceedings of each meeting to be taken, approved and recorded. Key activities of the Economic Strategy Board include:

- Submit strategic objectives for the Swansea Bay City Region;
- Assess the individual Project Business Cases against the strategic aims and objectives of the Swansea Bay City Deal and make a recommendation to the Joint Committee on whether or not the Project Business Case should proceed;
- Consider implications of a proposed withdrawal or change of Project Authority Lead and any proposal for a new project and provide recommendations to the Joint Committee on whether the new project proposed should replace the project to be withdrawn and if not the process for selecting new projects or reallocation of funding;
- Monitor progress with regard to the delivery of the Swansea Bay City Deal.

The Chair of the Economic Strategy Board has been appointed following an open competition exercise. The process of appointing other members of the Economic Strategy Board has taken place through an open recruitment and nomination process, membership being drawn from across the wider private and public sectors. The Economic Strategy Board membership was agreed through a vote at the first formal meeting of the Joint Committee on 31st August 2018.

3.3 Programme Board

The Programme Board is accountable to the Joint Committee and consists of the head of paid service of each of the four Councils, or another officer nominated by the head of paid service. The Programme Board Chair shall be reviewed annually.

The Programme Board may co-opt additional representatives to the Board. Co-opted members may include representatives of Swansea University, University of Wales Trinity St David, Hywel Dda University Health Board and Abertawe Bro Morgannwg Health Board.

It has been unanimously agreed by the councils that the Chief Executive of Carmarthenshire County Council will chair the Programme Board meetings.

In order to maintain momentum, the Programme Board has been operating in shadow form since the signing of the Heads of Terms document,. The Board was formally established by the Joint Committee at its first meeting.

The Programme Board will have four distinct roles:

- Preparing recommendations on the Swansea Bay City Deal programme:
 - Ensuring that all schemes are developed in accordance with the agreed package, analysing the financial viability, deliverability and risk of each City Deal project proposal;
 - Overseeing production of business case.
- Advising on the strategic direction of the Economic Strategy Board;
- Overseeing performance and delivery of the delivery of projects, reviewing progress against agreed milestones, focusing on delivery and financial risks and identifying any necessary remedial action;

- Working on a regional basis to improve public services especially in the areas of economic development, transport, planning and strategic land use, housing and regeneration.

Meetings of the Programme Board will take place on a monthly basis before the Joint Committee meeting and before all quarterly meetings of the Economic Strategy Board.

3.4 Accountable Body

The Councils have agreed that Carmarthenshire County Council will act as the Accountable Body responsible for discharging the Councils' Obligations in relation to the Swansea Bay City Deal in accordance with the Joint Committee Agreement.

The role of the Accountable Body is to:

- Act as the primary interface with Welsh Government, UK Government and any other funding bodies necessary to discharge the Councils' Obligations;
- Hold and release any Government Funding in relation to the Swansea Bay City Deal and only to use and release such funds as agreed in accordance with the terms of such funding and the Joint Committee Agreement;
- Comply with the Funding Conditions as set out in the JCA;
- Undertake the accounting and auditing responsibilities set out in this Agreement;
- Employ the Regional Office staff.

The Joint Committee will designate the Chief Executive of the Accountable Body as Lead Chief Executive to act as its principal adviser and as Accountable Officer to manage and oversee the work of the Accountable Body and the Regional Office team.

3.5 Regional Office

Reporting directly to the Accountable Officer and the Joint Committee, the Regional Office plays a pivotal co-ordinating and supporting role and it is responsible for the day to day management of matters relating to the Joint Committee and the Swansea Bay City Deal.

Key activities of the Regional Office include:

- Strategic liaison with UK Government and Welsh Governments and policy advisors;
- Governance support for all aspects of the City Deal governance structure, the SBCD Joint Committee, Programme Board and Economic Strategy Board;
- Programme implementation co-ordination, monitoring and evaluation;
- Undertake research, analysis and report on findings as requested by groups within the governance structure;
- Strategic project co-ordination include advising on and coordinating the development and submission of 5 case business models for City Deal projects;
- Liaison and engagement with government funding bodies and programmes, and with the Universities and Health Boards;
- Responsibility for managing the identification, assessment, approval, monitoring and evaluation processes for Regional interventions and projects;
- Communications and engagement management for the Swansea Bay City Deal;
- Private sector involvement, business development and inward investment;
- Utilising the SBCD's governance model to lead in the consideration and development of opportunities for additional capital and revenue external funding bids for additional funding sources to assist in the delivery of the regional activities which are complimentary to the SBCD.

4.0 Joint Committee Work Programme

A detailed work programme has been developed in Gantt format. This will be continually monitored and updated to ensure progress is being made.


Summarised key actions for the Shadow/Formal Joint Committee include:

Category	Action	Timeframe (Using Calendar Year)	Responsibility
Governance	JCA & SBCD governance approved at Full Council meeting in each of the 4 LAs	Complete	4 LAs
	Formal agreement of JCA & SBCD governance	Complete	Joint Committee
	Formal establishment of Economic Strategy Board	Complete	Joint Committee /UKG & WG
	Establish Joint Scrutiny Arrangements	Complete	Neath Port Talbot I
	Formal approval of Implementation Plan	Qtr2 2019	Joint Committee
Finance	Identify and agree LA borrowing requirements	Ongoing	LAs Section 151 Officers and Legal Officers / Accountable Body / JC
	Identify funding for revenue requirements and agree position on NNDR & Capitalisation	Qtr2 2019	Accountable Body / LAs Section 151 Officers / Joint Committee
	Formal agreement of process principles for flow of finances for Regional and Local Authority City Deal projects as set out in the Joint Committee Agreement	Qtr2 2019	4 LAs / Joint Committee
SBCD Project development /approval	Agree submission process and timescales for projects to Governments	Complete	Regional Office / UKG & WG / JC
	Agree project approval process	Complete	Accountable Body / 4 LAs / JC / UK & WG
Legal and Procurement	Draft SBCD JCA developed	Complete	Accountable Body/ LAs Section 151 Officers and Legal Officers
	Develop Economic Strategy Board TOR for approval	Complete	Accountable Body/ LAs Section 151 Officers and Legal Officers
	Develop City Deal Regional Procurement principles	Qtr2 2019	Accountable Body/Regional Office
	Contracts Register to be established to identify and report on community benefits	Qtr2 2019	Regional Office /Accountable Body
	Develop Template Funding Agreement between the Project Authority Lead and the Project Lead to allow the transfer of City Deal Funding	Qtr2 2019	Accountable Body/ LAs Section 151 Officers and Legal Officers/ Joint Committee
Monitoring and Evaluation	Programme Risk Register Developed	Complete	Regional Office/Accountable Body
	Final Version Monitoring and Evaluation Plan agreed	Qtr2 2019	Regional Office / UKG & WG
Stakeholder Engagement	Development and agreement of Business & Stakeholder Engagement Plan	Qtr2 2019 & Ongoing	Regional Office / Joint Committee

Strategic Regional Functions	Explore key strategic functions at a regional level that will support both the implementation of this Deal and wider development activity	Ongoing	Programme Board / Joint Committee / UK & WG
-------------------------------------	---	---------	---

5.0 Programme Financing

The Swansea Bay City Deal is a total investment of £1.3 billion over a period of 15 years. This consists of £241m from UK and Welsh Governments, £396m from other public sector funding and £637m from the private sector.



The Joint Committee Agreement sets out details of the allocation of Government funding and private and public funding contributions for each project. The proportion of Government funding shall be in accordance with the details set out in the JCA Schedule 7 (table shown below) unless the Councils agree to vary this.

PROJECT NAME	Private (£ m)	Public (£ m)	City Deal (£ m)	Total Project Costs (£ m)
Internet of Economic Acceleration				
Digital Infrastructure	30.0	0.0	25.0	55.0
Swansea City & Waterfront Digital District	23.9	94.3	50.0	168.2
Creative Digital Cluster - Yr Egin	3.0	16.3	5.0	24.3
Centre of Excellence in Next Generation Services (CENGs)	27.0	5.5	23.0	55.5
Skills & Talent Initiative	4.0	16.0	10.0	30.0
Internet of Life Science & Well-being				
Life Science & Well-being Campuses	10.0	20.0	15.0	45.0
Life Science & Well-being Village	127.5	32.0	40.0	199.5
Internet of Energy				
Homes as Power Stations	382.9	119.2	15.0	517.1
Pembroke Dock Marine	25.9	22.4	28.0	76.3
Smart Manufacturing				
Factory of the Future	3.2	10.3	10.0	23.5
Steel Science Centre	0.0	60.0	20.0	80.0
TOTALS	637.4	395.9	241.0	1,274.3

The Joint Committee has ultimate responsibility and accountability for decisions taken in relation to the Swansea Bay City Deal. It is the role of Programme Board to ensure that all schemes are developed in accordance with the agreed package, analysing the financial

viability, deliverability and risk of each City Deal project proposal and to report to the ESB and Joint Committee.

Private Sector investment is fundamental to the overall success of the Deal. There is a requirement for each City Deal project to have in place from the outset a credible and robust financial profile. All letters confirming both private and public sector match funding are to be in place for the project prior to City Deal funding approved, confirming amount and timing as set out in the project's approved financial profile. The Project Authority Lead and Project Delivery Lead are to put in place effective project monitoring processes. Funding agreements will be signed at the outset between Project Authority Leads and Project Delivery Leads setting out funding conditions.

For all projects, in addition to the 5 case model assessment process, the Accountable Body will undertake an assessment of the Project's Financial Profile to check that private and public sector contribution/s are in line with that set out in the initial project business case from the Project Lead. All variances and changes, including implications of these, will be reported to the PB, ESB and JC for consideration and decision of course of action as deemed necessary before City Deal funding is approved for the project.

It is the aim of the Swansea Bay City Deal that all projects will be delivered in five years in order to maximise the full benefits realisation of the operational schemes during the lifetime of City Deal funding which is to be released to the Region from the governments over a fifteen-year period.

As set out in the JCA, making decisions on borrowing and on finding other sources of funding other than Government Funding for projects is a matter reserved to the Councils. Each Council shall be responsible for borrowing or providing other funding for projects located in its area. If a project is located in the areas of more than one Council, each of the Councils in whose area the project is located may agree that borrowing or providing other funding should be shared between all of the Councils in whose areas the project is located equally or in proportions agreed by all of the Councils in whose areas the project is located.

The Programme Board will ensure that all schemes are developed in accordance with the agreed package and prepare recommendations to Joint Committee on all schemes.

The Joint Committee is responsible for overseeing the proportion of each Council's responsibility for borrowing to provide funding for regional projects. The capital borrowing (in respect of the Government funded element) for the SBCD projects will be re-paid by identified Government funds (UK & Welsh Government) over the 15 year period.


The exact level of borrowing and the structure and terms of the borrowing is yet to be confirmed at this point in time, however it will be calculated based on the amount required per relevant local authority, and will be in line with the individual local authority internal requirements. This is being determined by the four Section 151 Officers of the four local authorities. All borrowing will be agreed based on the principles of the Prudential Code and Treasury Management Policy and Strategy for each Authority. When further details of the investments required for each project are known, a full business case appraisal for each individual project will be completed and submitted to the relevant local authority for approval before submission to the Joint Committee. These full business cases will include the detailed funding proposals and requirements of the local authority.

The Welsh Government has agreed (in principle) that the authorities in the SBCD region will be allowed to retain 50% of the additional net yield in the non-domestic rates generated by the 11 projects which are to be delivered by the Deal. The basis of the allocation of the rates generated within the SBCD is yet to be agreed at this point in time. This is being negotiated


by the four Section 151 Officers of the four local authorities. Within the Joint Agreement appendices there is additional information that provides authorities with the support to utilise funding flexibilities.

Once UK Government and Welsh Government have approved release of City Deal funding for individual CD projects, this funding will be released to the Region via the Accountable Body who, in accordance with the Joint Committee Agreement, will distribute the funds to the regional local authorities as demonstrated in the following flow charts:

CITY DEAL FLOW OF FINANCES (Regional Projects)



CITY DEAL FLOW OF FINANCES (Local Authority Projects)



6.0 Strategic Programme Risks

Each Swansea Bay City Deal project will carry its associated risks which will be mitigated throughout the application and delivery process. A detailed risk analysis will be undertaken

for all projects by the Project Delivery Lead as part of the development of the 5 case business model process, with a project specific Risk Register established to assist in the ongoing management and mitigation of all risks.

A detailed Programme Risk Register has been developed for the Swansea Bay City Deal Programme and will be managed, revised and updated by the Regional Office and will be reviewed by the Programme Board and Joint Committee. A summary of the most significant programme risks are outlined in the table below. It should be noted that these risks are shown at this point in time of writing and that the status of each risk will alter along the length of the Programme.

Category	Risk	Probability Scale Low 1:5 High	Impact Scale Low 1:5 High	Mitigation
Development	Delay in approval of Joint Committee Agreement	1	1	JCA already formally approved by each of the four LAs at meetings of the Full Council. JCA on agenda for sign-off at first formal JC meeting anticipated end of Summer 18.
	Delay in approval of Implementation Plan	4	4	IP signed off in principle at the first JC on 31st August 2018. IP will need to be reviewed in light of / following programme review due to be completed in Jan 2019.
	Delay in establishment of Economic Strategy Board	1	1	ESB Chair and membership approved at first formal Joint Committee meeting on 31st August 2018. Introductory session held on 19th September to assist members in their new role. Future meeting dates for the next 12 months set in advance, with scheduled frequency of ESB meetings increased to a monthly basis (or more frequently as required) to establish momentum in anticipation of a number of business cases coming forward.
Implementation	Delay in development of Business Cases by Project Leads	2	3	Critical dates developed to assist in mapping out project development, submission and approval process timelines. Programme Board and ESB in place to oversee the development of business cases. Joint Committee Agreement in place which sets out agreed processes for deciding on any actions required. Two projects submitted for formal approval following sign off by City Deal Governance. Work to develop the other business cases continues.
	Delay in approval of City Deal Business Cases	2	3	JCA and governance structure formalised in August 18. Regional Project Authority Leads / Project Authority Leads will have early sight of relevant draft version business cases for comment/feedback. Iterative process with governments for review of draft business cases in place which aids speedier decision. Agreement of submission process and timescales for review of final business plans with both governments. Joint Committee forward work programme approved in December 2018. Forward work programme for ESB to be approved Jan 18. Pending the outcome of UK and Welsh Government independent review and SBCD internal review in January 2019 the forward work programmes for these committees may need to be reviewed including timescales for approving business plans. The region will work closely to support both reviews in order to ensure timely approval of project business cases can still be obtained.

Category	Risk	Probability Scale Low 1:5 High	Impact Scale Low 1:5 High	Mitigation
Operational	Business case is not approved / project falls	2	3	Iterative business case review process. Open and regular dialogue between Accountable Body, RO, Project Delivery Lead and Project Lead. Early identification of potential trigger points and any potential mitigating/rectifying actions. If irreconcilable, Joint Committee Agreement in place which sets out agreed processes for identifying new project(s) to achieve the outcomes of the City Deal. Findings of the UK and Welsh Government independent review and the internal SBCD review in Jan 2019 will further assure that the processes outlined in the previous update are robust and effective in mitigating the likelihood that a business case is not approved.
	Slippage in Programme delivery	3	3	Establish robust monitoring and evaluation framework to ensure programme and project delivery remains within agreed timescales and to ensure that all targeted project outputs and outcomes will be achieved. Regional Team in place to undertake monitoring role. Accountable Body/Section 151 officers will undertake programme level financial profiling to ensure borrowing and distribution of City Deal funding is reflective of programme delivery. UK and WG independent review of the City Deal programme announced in December 2018 to be completed by end of January 2019. Corresponding internal review also to take place in January 2019 to provide assurance of the robustness of the Deal. It is imperative that these reviews are timely in order to prevent further delays in programme delivery and the region will work closely to support both reviews in order to ensure the City Deal achieves outcomes in a timely manner.
	Withdrawal of Local Authority	1	2	JCA signed by each LA which clearly sets out agreed provisions for such a scenario.
Financial	Failure to engage relevant stakeholders including private sector to enable wider development of the programme	2	1	Economic Strategy Board in place from the outset providing private sector involvement. Key stakeholders already engaged. SBCD Business Engagement Officer and Communications Officer employed in the RO to ensure early and ongoing involvement through SBCD Business Engagement & Communication Plan. Series of dedicated business engagement sessions held in Nov 2018 to be repeated in 2019 and large private sector engagement event held in Dec 2018.
	Failure to achieve agreed outcomes / outputs in agreed timeframe	3	4	Develop robust baseline. Establish monitoring and evaluation framework including key milestones and timescales for review. Set up quarterly meetings with Project Delivery Leads and Project Authority Leads to discuss progress. Regular performance reporting to Programme Board, ESB and Joint Committee to enable decision on any mitigating actions deemed necessary to keep the programme on track.
	Failure to identify / secure City Deal revenue funding	2	2	Ongoing dialogue with governments underway to identify potential solutions.
	Private Sector funding	3	4	For all projects, in addition to the 5 case model assessment, the Accountable Body will undertake an

Category	Risk	Probability Scale Low 1:5 High	Impact Scale Low 1:5 High	Mitigation
	contribution/s not in line with initial business case projections			assessment of the Project's Financial profile to check that the private sector contribution is in line with the initial business case financial projections. Any implications resulting from variance to be reported to PB, ESB and JC for action.
	EU match funding contributions contribution/s not in line with initial business case projections	3	4	For all projects, in addition to the 5 case model assessment, the Accountable Body will undertake an assessment of the Project's Financial profile to check that the private sector contribution is in line with the initial business case financial projections. Any implications resulting from variance to be reported to PB, ESB and JC for action. RO in dialogue with WEFo.
	Failure of projects to secure committed full funding package (cap & rev)	2	5	Credible and robust financial profiles need to be in place for each City Deal Project from the outset. All Letters Confirmation Match Funding to be in place for the project before City Deal funding is approved, confirming amount and timing as set out in the project's financial profile. Timely monitoring and review following approval of five case business plan. Robust and timely procurement activity must be planned, executed and monitored. All Project Authority Leads to put in place effective monitoring and evaluation processes. Funding agreements signed between Project Authority Lead and Project Lead.

7.0 Business Case Assessment Process

The need to get the best possible value from spending public money will always remain a constant for those entrusted with spending decisions. To this end, all City Deal business cases must be developed using the HM Treasury and Welsh Government's Five Case Model, an approach which is both scalable and proportionate. It is recognised as best practice and is the Treasury's standard methodology.

The business case, both as a product and a process, provides decision makers, stakeholders and the public with a management tool for evidence based and transparent decision making and a framework for the delivery, management and performance monitoring of the resultant scheme.

Each business case in support of SBCD project must evidence:

- **Strategic Case** - the intervention is supported by a compelling case for change that provides holistic fit with other parts of the organisation and public sector
- **Economic Case** - the intervention represent best public value (to the UK as a whole)
- **Commercial Case** - the proposed Deal is attractive to the market place, can be procured and is commercially viable
- **Financial Case** - the proposed spend is affordable

- **Management Case** - that what is required from all parties is achievable

As set out in the Joint Committee Agreement, the Project Business Case shall include a Resolution of the Project Authority Lead and all Councils in whose area the project shall take place that they approve the submission of the Project Business case.

The Regional Office shall have day to day responsibility for managing the assessment process for projects.

To assist a speedier assessment process, the UK Government and Welsh Government have agreed an informal iterative review process for assessing draft versions of business cases in order to assist Project Leads in the production of complete full business cases which are appropriate for 5 case formal review process.

On completion of the final draft business case, and following approval from the respective Regional/Project Authority Lead(s), full business cases for each of the 11 projects will undergo assessment by the Regional Office and Accountable Body before being considered by the respective City Deal governance structures. After approval by the Joint Committee the project business case will be forwarded to the UK Government and Welsh Government for approval to release City Deal government project funding to the Accountable Body.

Regional Office Project Assessment Criteria

1. Fit with the WG Future Well-being Act

- Clear evidence of the 5 Ways of Working;
- Clear evidence of contributing to Well-being goals;
- Clear evidence of alignment with local well-being plans.

2. Strategic Fit

- Alignment with the strategic aims and objectives of the Swansea Bay City Deal and wider regeneration regional strategy and demonstrate the Region's ambitions;
- Synergies with other Swansea Bay City Deal projects demonstrated.

3. Financial

- Credible and robust financial profile with cost breakdown is in place for each City Deal project before funding approval;
- Private Sector contribution/s are as set out in the Initial Business Case financial projections for the project and in the Heads of Terms document;
- All letters confirming Project Match Funding from all sources must be in place before City Deal funding is approved - confirming amount/s and timing as set out in the project's Financial Profile - for public and private sectors (and any dependencies);
- Evidence of ongoing project sustainability for a period of at least the 15-year SBCD Programme Period to include a credible operational/commercial case that sets out full details on proposed income flows and sources (and any dependencies).

4. Deliverability

- Robust Governance & Partnership approach;
- Project Management - Team and Experience;
- Clear project plan.

5. Outputs and Outcomes

- SMART (specific, measurable, achievable, results-based, time-bound) project outcomes with associated baseline data is in place in line with overall City Deal Plan;
- Projects should clearly establish intended outputs with a clear definition of what success would look like.

6. Risk Management

- Project Risk Register and risk management process in place - identification, management and mitigation of all risks.


7. Procurement

- Projects must set out how they will procure all project activity in line with the Swansea Bay City Deal Procurement principles ;
- Wider Community Benefits demonstrated in the business case e.g. Local Supply Chain supported, apprenticeships etc.

8. Project Monitoring & Evaluation

- All Project Leads to have set out clear and effective Monitoring and Evaluation Plan with process identified;
- Key delivery steps and associated milestones demonstrated;
- Detailed proposal for how and when all outputs and outcomes will be measured and reported to the Project Lead Authority and the Regional Office;
- Evaluation proposal;
- Exit Strategy in place.

The four local authorities have agreed the following process for the formal assessment of final SBCD business cases:




8.0 SBCD Programme Monitoring and Reporting

The Regional Office will have day to day responsibility for managing the monitoring and evaluation processes for projects. A robust, monitoring and evaluation plan will be developed in conjunction with the UK and Welsh Governments, and agreed by the Joint Committee, which sets out the proposed approach to evaluating the impact of delivery of Swansea Bay City Deal at programme level. This will include detail on the how, what, and when reporting by the Project Delivery Leads and Project Authority Lead will take place.

Key actions include:

- Developing a robust baseline;
- Establishing monitoring and evaluation framework including key milestone and timescales for review;
- Set up quarterly meetings with Project Delivery Leads and Regional/Project Authority Leads to discuss progress;
- Regular performance reporting to Programme Board, Economic Strategy Board and Joint Committee.

All programme level reporting in relation to the City Deal and its associated projects will follow a process that has been agreed by the four local authorities. This is set out in the following chart:



SBCD Joint Scrutiny Committee

As set out in the Joint Committee Agreement, the Joint Scrutiny Committee will provide a scrutiny function to ensure greater public accountability over decisions made by the Joint Committee and any of its sub-committees and related entities. It has been agreed by the four Councils that Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council will be the lead authority to take responsibility for the scrutiny function responsibility and its administration.

The membership of the Joint Scrutiny Committee will consist of 12 members. Each of the Councils shall nominate three members for appointment to the Joint Scrutiny Committee. The member nominated by each Council shall be an elected member of that Council but shall not be a member of that Council's executive and shall not be a member of the Joint Committee. The Chair of the Joint Scrutiny Committee shall not be a member of the Council which is providing the Chair of the Joint Committee.


The role of the Joint Scrutiny Committee is to provide advice, challenge and support to the Joint Committee. The full terms and reference for the Joint Scrutiny Committee are set out in the Joint Committee Agreement.

The Joint Scrutiny Committee shall be required to:

- Review and scrutinise the Joint Committee's financial affairs;
- Review and assess the Joint Committee's risk management, internal control and corporate governance arrangements;
- Review and assess the economy, efficiency and effectiveness with which resources have been used;
- Make reports and recommendations to the Joint Committee in relation to the points in 1 and 3 above.

9.0 Swansea Bay City Deal Project Portfolio

The Swansea Bay City Deal comprises eleven projects across the four inter-related themes. Each thematic project has been developed to integrate with existing cluster strengths and infrastructure, supporting development of next generation services and products. In parallel, rollout of cutting-edge digital infrastructure will be accelerated to support exploitation of new technologies and capabilities. This will be underpinned by the Swansea Bay City Deal Skills & Talent Initiative that will be constantly attuned to emerging and evolving sectors' needs.



9.1 SBCD Theme - Internet of Economic Acceleration

Skills and Talent		
Regional Project Authority Lead	Carmarthenshire County Council	
Project Delivery Lead	Carmarthenshire County Council	
Total Cost	£30,000,000	City Deal: £10,000,000
Description	The Skills and Talent initiative, being led by the South West Wales Regional & Skills Partnership, will be a key component in ensuring that local people and businesses have the appropriate skills to benefit from each of the City Deal projects.	
Key Milestones	Activity	Date (using calendar year)
	Business Case Development	
	Initial draft Business Plan for consideration by RLSP Board	Qtr3 2017
	Initial draft Skills and Talent Business Plan shared with UKG/WG	Qtr4 2017
	Final review of draft business case by UKG / WG	Qtr2 2019
	Business Plan submitted to the 4 Councils	Qtr2 2019
	Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG	Qtr2 2019
UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding to the project	Qtr3 2019	
Risks	Description	Mitigation
	Operational	
	Risk of partners disengaging from the project could pose a risk to the achievement of some of the delivery outputs	The structure and constitution of the City Deal and the robust Partnership within the RLSP. All partners are fully committed to the project and the size and number of partners from all sectors significantly reduces this risk. Only if a whole sector were to withdraw would this risk be classed as high.
	Lead times in the development of a new skills offer in the region/ identifying the key skills and ensuring that Welsh Government agree to include the courses within future provision within FE and apprenticeship	As one of the WG's three Regional Skills Partnerships, the RLSP is well placed to support this development, with its annual submission of a regional employment and skills plan which is utilised to directly affect the allocation of funding to FE and apprenticeship provision.
	Project activity or overlapping	The RLSP provides a platform to work across the public; private and education system. This partnership will

	duplicating existing provision within the region	ensure that there is an appropriate and timely response to industry and emerging project demands, remaining relevant and effective while avoiding duplication or missed opportunities.				
Implementation						
Project management, leadership and control of the project to deliver the stated activities and results		Management, leadership and control of the project will benefit from the experience and expertise which exists across the partnership. The partnership will establish clear lines of management responsibility, reporting and accountability from the outset.				
Project does not meet the needs of the other SBCD projects		Early engagement with each of the 10 SBCD Project Leads has taken place to map out skills need, and will continue alongside the design and delivery stages of each.				
Slippage to the project timescales		It is intended that management of all work detailed in the action plan will be subject to a regular, on-going process of performance review by the RLSP Board in order to maintain progress in relation to the projected outputs and timelines. Where required this will be reported through the SBCD Programme Governance Structure.				
Financial						
Significant changes to the match funding package		The level of match funding levered will be monitored on a quarterly basis by the Project Delivery Lead and Project Local Authority Lead to ensure that it is line with the agreed financial profile.				
Organisational and financial risks		This level of experience within the organisations involved in the Partnership is such that relatively high levels of knowledge, skills and experience in financial management and probity can be clearly demonstrated. Coupled with regular performance review will serve to minimise the financial risks associated with the project.				
Financial Profile Note - these figures are subject to change during development and approval of the 5 case business model.	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	TOTAL (£)
Public	1,000,000	3,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	16,000,000
Private	500,000	500,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	4,000,000
City Deal	1,000,000	1,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	10,000,000
TOTAL (£)	2,500,000	5,000,000	7,500,000	7,500,000	7,500,000	30,000,000
Constraints	State Aid rules as they apply					
Dependencies	Continued Core Funding from Welsh Government for the RLSP Revenue support for the project from the local authorities					

Digital Infrastructure	
Regional Project	Carmarthenshire County Council

Authority Lead			
Project Delivery Lead	Carmarthenshire County Council		
Total Cost	£55,000,000	City Deal	£25,000,000
Description	<p>A regional state of the art digital infrastructure will be implemented to support each of the City Deal strategic themes and projects. The project will consist of three elements namely: Transport Corridor, Rural and Connected City. Together these components will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create digital infrastructure including gigabit fibre and the establishment of 5G testbeds that will enable innovation and entrepreneurship within the region • Expand the provision of 4G and Wi-Fi capabilities to benefit both urban and rural areas of the region • Develop digital infrastructure for key sectors including energy, manufacturing and life sciences 		
Key Milestones	Activity		Date (using calendar year)
	Business Case Development		
	Project Scope Set		Qtr1 2018
	Tender for consultant to develop full 5 case business plan based on agreed scope / outline proposal		Qtr3 2018
	Consultant appointed to develop full 5 case business plan based on agreed scope / outline proposal		Qtr4 2018
	Initial draft version 5 case business plan shared with UKG / WG		Qtr2 2019
	Final review of draft business case by UKG / WG		Qtr2 2019
	Business case submitted to 4 Councils		Qtr2 2019
	Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG		Qtr2 2019
Risks Tbc - awaiting draft 5 case model	Description	Mitigation	
	Unsuccessful project delivery /Slippage in Project delivery	Experienced project management and project delivery team in place from the outset. Project Implementation Plan to be agreed by all partners. Established robust monitoring and evaluation framework at project development stage to ensure programme and project delivery remains within agreed timescales and to ensure that all targeted project outputs and outcomes will be achieved.	
	Lack of Commercial Confidence	Robust governance structure will need to be in place from the outset to carefully manage both governance and commercial risks.	
	Project not sustainable	Project will develop a sustainable business model. Ongoing dialogue with key government, public sector officers and private sector organisations key. Sustainability is an integral part of this project and early	

				engagement with operators/providers is embedded within the proposals.			
	Failure to secure full funding package			The digital plan will be implemented through partner(s) through a competitive tendering process.			
	Non-compliance with State Aid Regulation and Public Procurement Rules			Use is made of existing compliant procurement frameworks to enable speedier delivery. Different aspects of the project might entail different approaches.			
	Engagement/partnership with industry is unsuccessful.			Early engagement already taken place with private sector and wider stakeholders as part of City Deal projects. Dialogue will be on-going via the projects. Dedicated Project Manager in place.			
Financial Profile		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	TOTAL (£)
Note - these figures are subject to change during development and approval of the 5 case business model	Public						
	Private	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	30,000,000
	City Deal	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	25,000,000
	TOT AL (£)	11,000,000	11,000,000	11,000,000	11,000,000	11,000,000	55,000,000
Dependencies	<p>Roll-out of UK and Wales wide ICT policies and programmes.</p> <p>Alignment with Superfast Cymru is an important requirement.</p> <p>Engagement with service providers to gain an understanding of future plans and potential opportunities for the SBCD and Digital Infrastructure proposals is vital.</p> <p>The expectation is that the digital plan will be implemented through partner(s) through a competitive tendering process.</p>						

Swansea City & Waterfront Digital District			
Project Authority Lead	Swansea Council		
Project Delivery Lead	Swansea Council		
Total Cost	£174,673,000	City Deal	£50,000,000
Description	The Swansea City and Waterfront Digital District will capitalise on the next generation connectivity available within the region, developing a vibrant and prosperous City Centre that facilitates the growth of high value ICT and digitally enabled sectors. The project will include incubation space and co-working areas for start-ups and small businesses alongside global enterprise; a new City Centre Business District and a Digital Square and Arena, providing conference facilities and major event space for tech industries.		
Key Milestones	Activity	Date (using calendar year)	
Business Case Development			

	Initial draft business case shared with UK/WG	Qtr4 2017
	Final review of draft version business case by UKG / WG	Qtr4 2018
	Business case submitted to Council	Qtr4 2018
	Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG	Qtr4 2018
	UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding for the project	Qtr2 2019
Project Development		
Milestone Activity - Box Village		
	Planning Submission	Qtr2 2018
	Detailed Design	Qtr3 2018
	Tender and Contractor Procurement	Qtr3 2018
	Construction	Qtr1 2019
	Fit Out	Qtr4 2019
	Completion and Occupation	Qtr4 2019
Milestone Activity - Innovation Precinct		
	Planning Submission	Qtr4 2019
	Detailed Design	Qtr4 2019
	Tender and Contractor Procurement	Qtr1 2020
	Construction	Qtr4 2021
	Fit Out	Qtr1 2022
	Completion and Occupation	Qtr1 2022
Milestone Activity - Digital Village		
	Planning Submission	Qtr3 2018
	Detailed Design	Qtr2 2018
	Tender and Contractor Procurement	Qtr4 2018
	Construction	Qtr3 2020
	Fit Out	Qtr4 2020
	Completion and Occupation	Qtr4 2020
Milestone Activity - Digital Square & Arena		
	Outline planning consent granted	Qtr2 2017
	End of Design Stage 2	Qtr4 2017
	Arena Operator Contract Signed	Qtr1 2018
	Contractor Procurement	Qtr3 2018
	Construction	Qtr4 2020
	Arena Opening	Qtr4 2020
Risks	Description	Mitigation
	Development	
	Failure to obtain relevant approvals	All approval processes for the project will be identified to allow for sufficient time to prevent project timeline impacts. Dedicated team and organisation structure will further mitigate this risk. In addition, expert advice will be sought to ensure that all required approvals are captured.
	Failure to agree project specification	Project will continue to work extensively with all key stakeholders to ensure that agreement on specification is achieved as a priority before progressing the project further.
	Commercial floor space and other facilities do not meet the needs of start-	Project consulted with members of the targeted industries to establish needs. The project to continue to liaise with members of the industry to ensure that the evolving

ups and tech based businesses	project delivers on key requirements at every stage, and to continuously monitor and proactively engage with the industry to ensure that needs are appropriately met in the operational phase on an ongoing basis.
Financial	
Failure to secure funding package	Swansea Council and University of Wales Trinity St David funding is committed. Ensure credible and robust detailed business plan and financial profile is in place at outset. Written letters confirming all sources of funding to be in place at approval stage
Rise in construction costs	Ensure detailed costs are in place for the entire project at the outset. Allow for a suitable contingency. Detailed tender bids. Ensure experienced project manager is in place.
Implementation	
Delays in construction programme/ Project slippage	Ensure project team in place using recognised project management tools to enable the developments to be delivered on time. The project to proactively monitor construction progress and to work extensively with the principal contractor to minimise any disruption to the programme
Temporary traffic management failing	Project will ensure TM contractor aware of sensitivity of route and applies appropriate resources. Advance communications to 1st Responders to take into account the impact on emergency services and providing advance notice of restrictions Involve Traffic Team in a timely manner. Regular review of programme and timely information to Client.
Operational	
The commercial floor space and other facilities do not meet the needs of start-ups and tech based businesses	Project continues to consult with members of the targeted industries to establish needs and to ensure that the evolving project delivers on key requirements at every stage. Project to continuously monitor and proactively engage with the industry to ensure that needs are appropriately met in the operational phase on an ongoing basis
Lower than expected demand	The project is working extensively with potential tenants and occupiers to ensure that initial demand is sufficient. In addition, project will be focused on supporting growth and successfully managing the success of the operational phase. Project to allocate sufficient resource to ensure that any period of lower than expected demand is successfully bridged
Failure to provide knowledge transfer and commercial opportunities	The University to adequately resource teams to ensure that knowledge transfer and the development of commercial opportunities are given adequate priority in terms of delivery
Focus on physical property aspects and the wider economic needs to support business creation and growth are not achieved	The projects are being developed in consultation with a wide range of the public and private sector partners to understand demand in the market. The schemes are also designed to meet the current and future needs of an innovative business community.

Financial Profile		2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22		TOTAL (£)
Note – figures may not sum due to rounding	Public	11,861,000	16,812,000	29,640,000	23,466,000	2,923,000		84,702,000
	Private	238,000	3,498,000	13,268,000	19,839,000	3,128,000		39,971,000
	City Deal	5,626,000	9,332,000	15,715,000	14,602,000	4,725,000		50,000,000
	TOTAL (£)	17,724,000	29,642,000	58,623,000	57,907,000	10,766,000		, 174,673,000
Dependencies	Planning consents This project forms part of the overall Swansea Central regeneration plan.							

Yr Egin - Creative Digital Cluster		
Project Authority Lead	Carmarthenshire County Council	
Project Delivery Lead	University of Wales: Trinity Saint David	
Total Cost	£24,294,000	City Deal £5,000,000
Description	Yr Egin will create a digital and creative industry cluster in Carmarthen, joined by S4C as the key anchor tenant alongside other digital and creative media SMEs as tenants. The centre will create a clustering effect which will create major and positive change to the creative and digital economy of Wales. This development will include business accelerator facilities, incubation, as well as shared spaces for interaction between each of the tenants, driving entrepreneurial development.	
Key Milestones	Activity	Date (using calendar year)
Business Case Development	Initial draft version 5 case business plan shared with UKG / WG	Qtr4 2017
	Final review of draft version business case by UKG/WG	Qtr4 2018
	Business case submitted to the Council	Qtr4 2018
	Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG	Qtr4 2018
	UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding for the project	Qtr2 2019
	Project Development	
	Phase 1 Practical Completion of Construction	Qtr3 2018
	Phase 2 Procurement of Multi-Dis Design Team to take design to RIBA Stage 3	Qtr4 2018
	Phase 2 Stage 1 ECI contractor appointment	Qtr4 2018
	Phase 2 RIBA Stage 3 Sign off	Qtr2 2019
	Phase 2 RIBA Stage 4 Detailed Design and Pre-Planning Application Discussions	Qtr2 2019

	Phase 2 Planning Submission	Qtr3 2019
	Phase 2 Stage 2 Contractor Appointment	Qtr3 2019
	Phase 2 Commencement of Construction	Qtr4 2019
	Phase 2 Fit Out	Qtr1 2021
	Phase 2 Practical Completion and Occupation	Qtr1 2021
Risks	Description	Mitigation
	Development	
	Failure to agree project specification	The University will work extensively with all key stakeholders, including potential occupiers to ensure that agreement on specification is achieved as a priority before progressing the project further.
	Failure to deliver the wider benefits identified in the business case	The project's Monitoring and Evaluation Plan to set out clear proposals of how and when the Project Lead is going to monitor the impact of the wider benefits as set out in the 5 case business model for Yr Egin. Project M & E Plans to be in place before funding is released to the project. Quarterly reporting to the Regional Office by the Project Lead and Local Authority Leads to highlight all changes to outputs, outcomes and milestones.
	Implementation	
	Failure to obtain relevant approvals	All approval processes for the project will be identified and approvals will be applied for with sufficient time to prevent project timeline impacts. The University's existing dedicated team and organisation structure will further mitigate this risk.
	Delays in construction programme	This is in 2 phases, the first of which must be completed as a priority to satisfy S4C's timescales. This 2-phase approach will reduce the risk of delays & enable a focus on delivering Phase 1. University to work closely with delivery partner for Phase 2 to ensure that any delays in construction programme are mitigated and avoided.
	Skills and capacity issues in terms of project delivery	The core project delivery team has been specifically appointed and retained to ensure that enough skill and capacity is available to deliver the Yr Egin project. The University will appoint third party specialists as required and will ensure that the project procurement process is competitive to encourage suppliers to engage.
	Operational	
	Lower than expected demand	The University has already seen a strong uptake with lettable space in Phase 1 and will work extensively to engage with prospective tenants for Phase 2. The University to also continuously review rent and service charge costs to ensure that Yr Egin remains highly competitive
	Failure to provide knowledge transfer and commercial opportunities	The University has extensive experience and expertise in both knowledge transfer and the development of commercial opportunities. The University will adequately resource teams to ensure that both of these aspects are given adequate priority in terms of delivery.
	Failure to meet industry needs	The University has undertaken extensive consultation to ensure that the Yr Egin project will match the requirements of the industry. Key stakeholder

		management to be undertaken extensively to ensure that the project continues to do so.					
	Financial						
	Failure to secure funding package			Ensure credible and robust detailed business plan and financial profile is in place at outset. Written letters confirming all sources of funding to be in place at approval stage			
	Rise in construction costs			Construction cost increases have been adequately anticipated through the use of third party experts and will be integrated throughout the cost estimations for Yr Egin. The University will continue to provide adequate contingency for any unexpected increases.			
Financial Profile	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	TOTAL (£)	
	Public	14,520,348	3,000,000	2,220,000	0	16,020,000	
	Private	£348,000	£0	£0	£1,500,000	4,150,000	
	City Deal	£0	3,000,000	2,000,000	0	5,000,000	
	TOTAL (£)	14,868,348	0	4,220,000	6,081,653	25,170,000	
Dependencies	Planning consents						

Centre of Excellence in Next Generation Services (CENGs)		
Project Authority Lead	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council	
Project Delivery Lead	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council	
Total Cost	£55,500,000	City Deal £23,000,000
Description	CENGs will provide a data analytics capability to turn world class data sourced innovation into commercial systems and solutions, establishing a leadership position for Wales and the UK. The Centre will operate from a purpose built facility which will offer incubation, laboratory and 2 nd stage space and will bridge the gap between research and innovation and the ability to launch, develop and grow commercial opportunities.	
Key Milestones Tbc - awaiting draft 5 case model	Activity	Date (using calendar year)
	Business Case Development - Capital	
	Initial draft business case shared with UKG / WG	Qtr3 2019
	Final review of draft version business case by UKG / WG	Qtr4 2019
	Business case submitted to the Council	Qtr4 2019
	Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG	Qtr2 2020
	UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding to the project	Qtr3 2020
	Business Case Development – Revenue	
	Initial draft business case shared with UKG / WG	Qtr4 2019
	Final review of draft version business case by UKG / WG	Qtr1 2020
	Business case submitted to the Council	Qtr2 2020

	Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG	Qtr2 2020					
	UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding to the project	Qtr3 2020					
Project Development							
WEFO match funding confirmation received		Qtr1 2018					
Finalise project Tender Brief & Tender documentation		Qtr1 2018					
Two stage procurement exercise to commence		Qtr4 2018					
Construction (contractor start - end)		Qtr2 2019 - Qtr2 2020					
Construction Completion and building occupation		Qtr1 2020					
Risks Tbc - awaiting draft 5 case model	Description	Mitigation					
	Development						
	The proposed facilities do not meet the needs of start-ups and RD&I businesses	Early engagement with industry to ensure designs are appropriate to end users, with flexibility of design.					
	Operational						
	Unable to secure tenants to occupy the facility	Existing and pipeline demand already identified and evidenced. On-going engagement with industry to advertise the proposed facility and cluster.					
	Financial						
	Failure to secure funding package	Ensure credible and robust detailed business plan and financial profile is in place at outset. Written letters confirming all sources of funding to be in place at approval stage					
	Revenue implications post completion	Operational business plan in development. Anticipated self-sustaining after 5 years.					
	Implementation						
Financial Profile Note - these figures are subject to change during development and approval of the 5 case business model	Delays in procuring or delivering contract	Timely engagement of contractor and realistic programme developed.					
	Project outputs / outcomes not achieved	Benefits realisation plan in development.					
	Resource implications of delivery	Experienced project team in place with additional project manager to be appointed.					
	Capital & Revenue						
		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	TOTAL (£)
	Public	0	3,000,000	0	2,500,000	0	5,500,000
	Private	2,000,000	3,500,000	5,750,000	6,750,000	9,000,000	27,000,000
	City Deal	2,500,000	6,500,000	4,750,000	5,250,000	4,000,000	23,000,000
	Total (£)	4,500,000	13,000,000	10,500,000	14,500,000	13,000,000	55,500,000
Dependencies	Planning consents						

9.2 SBCD Theme - Internet of Life Science and Well-being

Life Science and Well-being Campuses

Project Authority Lead	City and County of Swansea		
Project Delivery Lead	Swansea University		
Total Cost	£45,000,000	City Deal	£15,000,000
Description	The Life Science and Wellbeing Campus project will build upon the successful Institute of Life Science initiative, providing a world-class integrated research & business incubator/park secondary/tertiary clinical, research and trials environment, and skills development centres with a life science innovation hub being created in a hospital setting. This will strengthen the region's capacity to commercialise research, attract additional inward investment, and further increase the export of high value services and goods, such as medical devices.		
Key Milestones Tbc - awaiting draft 5 case model	Activity		Date (using calendar year)
Business Case Development			
Review of Outline Business Case		Qtr1 2018	
Completed options appraisal		Qtr1 2018	
Draft Full Business Case		Qtr1 2019	
Partner Review of FBC		Qtr1 2019	
Initial draft version 5 case business plan shared with UKG / WG		Qtr2 2019	
Final review of draft version business case by UKG / WG		Qtr3 2019	
Business case submitted to the Council		Qtr4 2019	
Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG		Qtr4 2019	
UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding to the project		Qtr4 2019	
Risks Tbc - awaiting draft 5 case model	Description	Mitigation	
Development			
Failure to ensure stakeholder buy-in to project concept		Project to have regular engagement with key stakeholders including ABMU, Swansea University, private sector and SBCD Programme Board and Joint Committee.	
Delay in Swansea University decision making and internal governance procedures		Reviewed at SU project development board regularly.	
Implementation			
Procurement delays		Make use of existing procurement frameworks.	
Appropriateness of partnering arrangements		Make use of existing procurement frameworks.	
Operational			
Project fails to meet City Deal outputs		Project M&E Plan in place at project start. Regular review and monitoring - IoHWB leadership.	
Operation of new facilities - laboratory environments		Integrate with existing operations.	
Failure to secure commercial tenants		End User discussions taking place.	
Financial			
Failure to secure funding package		Ensure credible and robust detailed business plan and financial profile is in place at outset. Written letters confirming all sources of funding to be in place at approval stage	

Financial Profile Note - these figures are subject to change during development and approval of the 5 case business model		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total (£)
	Public	5,000,000	10,000,000	5,000,000	0	0	20,000,000
	Private	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	10,000,000
	City Deal	2,500,000	2,500,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	0	15,000,000
	TOTAL (£)	9,500,000	14,500,000	12,000,000	7,000,000	2,000,000	45,000,000
Dependencies	Planning Consent						

Life Science and Well-being Village			
Project Authority Lead	Carmarthenshire County Council		
Project Delivery Lead	Carmarthenshire County Council		
Total Cost	£199,500,000	City Deal	£40,000,000
Description	The Llanelli Life Science and Wellbeing Village aims to deliver transformational social and economic benefits through delivering the full scope of integration between business development, education, wellness initiatives, research and development and healthcare initiatives. The project will create a physical hub in Llanelli comprised of an institute of life science, a wellness hub, a variety of high quality flats and houses, a care home, a life science and well-being centre a leisure centre and a high end wellness hotel. The village will provide space for research and development of new medical devices and healthcare technologies alongside opportunities for training, service provision and recreation. The requirements for this are being developed in conjunction with partners such as health boards and universities.		
Milestones	Activity	Date (using calendar year)	
Business Case Development			
Initial draft business case shared with UKG / WG		Qtr4 2017	
Final review of draft version business case by UKG / WG		Qtr2 2019	
Business case submitted to the Council		Qtr2 2019	
Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project to be submitted to the UKG/WG		Qtr2 2019	
UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding to the project		Qtr3 2018	
Revised business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board		Q2 2019	
Revised business case submitted to the Council		Q3 2019	
Revised business case formally submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee		Q3 2019	
UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding to the project		Q4 2019	
Project Development			
Phase 1			

	Undertake preparatory works on-site	Qtr2 2017
	Competitive Dialogue procurement exercise complete	Qtr1 2018
	Collaboration Agreement signed	Qtr3 2018
	Phase 2	
	Launch public exhibition	Qtr3 2017
	Pre-Application Consultation (Outline Planning)	Qtr1 2018
	Submission of outline planning	Qtr1 2018
	Partner consultations on service change proposals	From Qtr1 2018
	Phase 3	
	Establish JV governance structure	From Qtr2 2018
	Appoint a Development Partner	Qtr3 2018
	Finalise business/service plans	From Qtr3 2018
	Commence building works	Qtr1 2019
	Phase 4	
	Services / businesses setting up on site (Phase 1)	Qtr1 2021
	'Soft launch' (Phase 1)	Qtr1 2021
	Post Implementation Review	Qtr3 2021
Risks	Description	Mitigation
	Site Planning – environmental, ecological, land and other infrastructure planning issues could, potentially delay the project or as a worst case scenario result in project cessation	External consultants have been engaged to undertake a range of environmental studies required to evidence a planning application and to detail any remedial action required.
	Partner communication and understanding	A full Communications and Engagement Strategy has been prepared, which prescribes early and frequent public involvement in the development of the Village and wherever a change to existing service configuration is proposed. Engagement will continue in line with both statutory and best practice guidelines.
	Revenue streams	Business planning is underway with the Health Boards to achieve a revenue neutral solution with a projection of revenue savings over the 15 year City Deal programme.
	Failure to achieve a whole site vision to maximise benefits the Village aims to combine skills and training, with business development, research and health initiatives.	The complexity of the aims and the range of partners required to develop this integrated network brings with it significant challenges. The Project Board and PMO to ensure that private, public and third sector partners are engaged and that opportunities for joint working and facilities provision are maximised.
	Health provision - inability to provide a modern, sustainable workforce; to deliver to full service specification.	Accredited training programmes developed onsite will proactively interface with schools and colleges (for example, Destination NHS) and will be designed to meet the needs for modernised work programmes and provide a retained and sustainable health workforce.
	Revenue streams	Business planning is underway with the Health Boards to achieve a revenue neutral solution with a projection of revenue savings over the 15 year City Deal programme.

Financial Profile Note - these figures are subject to change during development and approval of the 5 case business model		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2020/21	2022/23	TOTAL(£)
	Public	13,000,000	12,000,000	7,000,000	0	0	32,000,000
	Private	15,000,000	63,500,000	42,000,000	7,000,000	0	127,500,000
	City Deal	8,500,000	15,000,000	16,500,000	0	0	40,000,000
	TOTAL (£)	36,500,000	90,500,000	65,500,000	7,000,000	0	199,500,000
Dependencies	This project forms part of the overall new Life Science & Well-being Village in Delta Lakes, Llanelli. Planning Consent						

9.3 SBCD Theme - Internet of Energy

Homes as Power Stations		
Regional Project Authority Lead	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council	
Project Delivery Lead	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council	
Total Cost	£517,050,000	City Deal: £15,000,000
Description:	The project will create a new industry based around innovative and sustainable energy generation, combined with storage and efficiency. New technologies developed will be applied within the region, allowing homes and buildings to generate, store, and release their own energy. The project will undertake a new house building programme and retrofit programmes which will utilise such technologies whilst developing new supply chains in this sector. The project will also address fuel poverty, which remains a persistent challenge for many communities across the region. The project will also focus on broadband Internet connections and smart metering, with support from the Digital Infrastructure project.	
Milestones Tbc - awaiting draft 5 case model	Activity	Date (using calendar year)
	Business Case Development	
	Initial draft Strategic Case shared with RO	Qtr3 2018
	Initial draft business case shared with UKG/WG	Qtr1 2019
	Final review of draft version business case by UKG / WG	Qtr2 2019
	Business case submitted to the 4 Councils	Qtr3 2019

	Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG	Qtr3 2019
	UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding to the project	Qtr4 2019
Project Development		
	Regional Stakeholder Workshops	Qtr1 2018
	Regional Local Authority Steering and Working established to coordinate the regional activity at scale	Qtr2 2018
	Regional Social Landlord engagement	Qtr4 2017
	Initiated pathfinder/proof of concept development at Neath (Hafod Site)	Qtr4 2017
	Consultants engaged to assist in development of the 5 case business model	Qtr2 2018
	Planning approved for Hafod Site - due to commence on site	Qtr3 2018
Risks Tbc - awaiting draft 5 case model	Description	Mitigation
	Development	
	Land costs and availability	Project to ensure that local authorities provide a land bank.
	Supply chain development	Robust supply chain strategy and on-going industry engagement plan in place.
	Approved design specification	Development and implementation of a holistic, flexible, industry approved design standards.
	Operational	
	Supply chain capacity and capability	Robust supply chain strategy and on-going industry engagement plan to be in place.
	Appropriate land availability	Ensure that local authorities provide a sizeable land bank.
	Not achieving new build targets	Ensure effective programme planning.
	Insufficient capacity to deliver the programme	Ensure that the right levels of skills and experience are in place -experienced regional project team and manager, robust stakeholder management/ coordination.
	Financial	
	Failure to secure funding package	Ensure credible and robust detailed business plan and financial profile is in place at outset.
	Significant changes in the funding package, including public and private sector match funding.	Ensure commitment of match funding partners through signed partner funding letters in place at project approval stage. The delivery profile and associated match funding components to be monitored on a regular basis to ensure that it is in line with the agreed financial profile.
	Implementation	
	Deliverability of HAPs within the City Deal programme timeframe.	Detailed time bound project delivery proposals for HAPs to be set out in the 5 case business model
	Slippage including delays in procurement / delivering contracts	All work detailed in the programme plan will be subject to a regular, on-going process of performance review. The benefits realisation plan will also be monitored and reviewed on a regular basis.
	Project management to deliver the agreed activities and results.	Dedicated project management and delivery team to be appointed at the outset of the project to ensure effective implementation of the programme.

	Complex nature of retrofit			Robust and detailed implementation and delivery plan will be formulated to ensure that an approved and tested retrofit approach is set in place.			
Financial Profile Note - these figures are subject to change during development and approval of the 5 case business model		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	TOTAL (£)
	Public	6,425,000	14,075,000	22,950,000	31,900,000	43,800,000	119,150,000
	Private	23,075,000	47,675,000	73,050,000	102,600,000	136,500,000	382,900,000
	City Deal	2,900,000	4,400,000	4,400,000	3,000,000	300,000	15,000,000
	TOTAL (£)	32,400,000	66,150,000	100,400,000	137,500,000	180,600,000	517,050,000
Dependencies	Availability of sizeable local authority land banks across the region Planning Consents						

Pembroke Dock Marine		
Project Authority Lead	Pembrokeshire County Council	
Project Delivery Lead	Milford Haven Port Authority	
Total Cost	£76,320,001	City Deal £28,000,000
Description	The project will involve the development of a marine energy test area utilising the deep port of Milford Haven, an energy engineering centre of excellence, and a wave energy demonstration zone. By creating a cluster of resources, knowledge, and capabilities, Pembroke Marine will accelerate technology development, enhancing the sector's success and ensuring continued investment and development in test sites on a regional, Welsh and UK scale.	
Milestones Tbc - awaiting revised business case	Activity	Date (using calendar year)
Business Case Development		
Initial draft business case shared with UKG / WG		Qtr4 2017
Revised business case shared with UKG / WG		Qtr3 2018
Final review of draft version business case by UKG / WG		Qtr2 2019
Business case submitted to the Council		Qtr2 2019
Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG		Qtr2 2019
UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding to the project		Qtr3 2019
Project Development		
Amion Consultants engaged to develop 5 case business model		Qtr1 2018
Risks Tbc - awaiting revised business case	Description	Mitigation
Development & Delivery		

		One or more of the 4 PDM project partners withdraws from the project	Memorandum of Understanding/SLA to be signed by each of the 4 project partners and to be in place at the outset of the PDM project - this document to be approved by the Project Authority Lead. Funding Approval letter in place between Project Lead and Project Authority Lead.						
Development									
		Environmental/ecological/archaeological constraints	Project to appoint specialist planning consultant to work with relevant planning/consenting agencies and ensure any findings and necessary mitigating measures are incorporated into outline planning application report						
Implementation									
		Deliverability of PDM within the City Deal programme timeframe.	Detailed time bound project delivery proposals for 4 elements of PDM to be set out in the 5 case business model.						
		Delay/failure to secure required consents	Early engagement with consenting authorities and key stakeholders. Project to appoint specialist consultants where appropriate. Ensure key consent milestones and interdependencies are included in project schedule and monitored closely. Project to carry out comprehensive surveys, where appropriate, and include findings and necessary mitigating measures in consent applications						
		Failure to ensure stakeholder buy-in to project concept	Project engaging with local community through public exhibitions, liaison groups etc., to ensure that stakeholders are fully informed of impacts and there is opportunity for concerns to be addressed where possible.						
Operational									
		Failure to attract developers/end users	Project partners to undertake market research to assess need and identify end user requirements.						
Financial									
		Failure to secure funding package	Ensure credible and robust detailed business plan and financial profile is in place at outset. Written letters confirming all sources of funding to be in place at approval stage						
		Increase in design and construction costs	Ensure detailed costs in place for the all 4 elements of this project. Prepare Tender in line with agreed budgets. Ensure project manager is in place from outset. Allow for a suitable contingency in the financial profile.						
Financial Profile Note - these figures are subject to change during development and approval of the 5 case		2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	TOTAL (£)
	Public	488,312	, 893,296	3,264,55 1	5,423,32 2	4,827,54 4	3,795,57 5	332,187	19,024,7 87
	Private		632,346	410,000	1,536,00 0	3,177,09 0	6,160,11 0	1,530,57 2	13,446,1 18
	City Deal		0	2,275,56 5	3,474,04 4	5,486,09 5	9,728,67 6	7,035,62 0	28,000,0 00

business model	TOTAL (£)	488,312	1,525,642	5,950,116	10,433,366	13,490,729	19,684,361	8,898,379	60,470,905
Constraints	State Aid Rules								
Dependencies	There are 4 elements to this project, each led by a different organisation. ERDF funding for PDI, MEECE, & PDZ. Planning Consents								

9.4 SBCD Theme - Smart Manufacturing

Factory of the Future		
Project Authority Lead	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council	
Project Delivery Lead	Swansea University	
Total Cost	£23,521,686	City Deal £10,000,000
Description	This initiative will support inward investment in leading edge technologies and harness the opportunities associated with a digital manufacturing revolution. Informed by industry 4.0 principles, and supported by world class industrial innovators, this initiative will put the region and its enterprises at the forefront of this digital and data based manufacturing movement creating a regional integrated manufacturing ecosystem making use of the hub-spoke model and digital infrastructure improvements to connect spokes to a main hub based in Swansea University.	
Key Milestones	Activity	Date (using calendar year)
Business Case Development		
Initial draft business case shared with UKG / WG		Qtr1 2018
Final review of draft version business case by UKG / WG		Qtr3 2019
Business case submitted to the Council		Qtr4 2019
Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG		Qtr4 2019
UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding to the project		Qtr1 2020
Project Development		
Finalise location		Qtr2 2012
Formalise project designs		Qtr3 2019
Procurement process commences build		Qtr3 2019
Contractor appointed		Qtr3 2019
Commence building work		Qtr4 2019
New Centre Opens		Qtr4 2020
Risks Tbc - awaiting revised business case	Description	Mitigation
Development		
Delay in planning conditions/consent.		Early engagement with stakeholders and consent authorities to raise any issues early on.
Delay in procurement of contractor		Utilise existing procurement frameworks. Early engagement with contractors to identify issues which

		may cause delay and require specialist input. Monitor and review progress of contractor through his procurement process.				
Operational						
	Centre does not suit end-user needs	Regular design meetings involving end-users at initial design and fit-out stage. Early identification of supply chain.				
Financial						
	Failure to secure funding package	Ensure credible and robust detailed business plan and financial profile is in place at outset. Written letters confirming all sources of funding to be in place at approval stage.				
Financial Profile Note - these figures are subject to change during development and approval of the 5 case business model	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	TOTAL (£)
Public	694,577	2,019,078	2,753,095	2,408,787	2,428,086	10,303,623
Private	0	450,000	900,000	922,500	945,563	3,218,063
City Deal	5,217,011	2,314,980	645,710	661,852	1,160,447	10,000,000
TOTAL (£)	5,911,588	4,784,058	4,298,805	3,993,139	4,534,096	23,521,686
Dependencies	Planning Consent					


Steel Science		
Project Authority Lead	Neath Port Talbot	
Project Delivery Lead	Swansea University	
Total Cost	£80,000,000	City Deal £20,000,000
Description	This project will lead to the creation of The National Steel Innovation Centre (NSIC), in the Neath Port Talbot Unitary Authority. This project will provide access to world-class facilities for the development of innovative steel products and processes and opportunities for collaboration between co-located industrial and academic partners which, when combined, will create a sustainable Steel Industry fit for the 21st Century. Additionally, it will place the opportunity for innovation and research within the reach of SMEs who would otherwise struggle to resource intensive PhD-level academic researchers, and allow them to bring this research to the market place.	
Key Milestones Tbc - awaiting 5 case model	Activity	Date (using calendar year)
Business Case Development		
Initial draft version 5 case business plan shared with UKG / WG		Qtr4 2018
Final review of draft version business case by UKG / WG		Qtr3 2019
Business case submitted to the Council		Qtr4 2019
Business case submitted to Economic Strategy Board, Programme Board and Joint Committee for Approval of the project submission to the UKG/WG		Qtr4 2019
UKG/WG approval of the release of Government Funding to the project		Qtr1 2020
Project Development		

	Finalise location	Qtr2 2019					
	Formalise project designs	Qtr3 2019					
	Procurement process commences build	Qtr3 2019					
	Contractor appointed	Qtr3 2019					
	Commence building work	Qtr4 2019					
	New Centre Opens	Qtr4 2020					
Risks Tbc - awaiting 5 case model	Description	Mitigation					
	Developmental						
	Plans not approved	Engage with the local authority to understand any potential issues early on in the process. Engage planning specialists to make sure plans are in accordance with planning laws.					
	Unable to acquire suitable land	Ensure alternative solutions are developed using existing options.					
	No suitable procurement bids	Ensure in-depth planning and specification, and consult with market prior to commencement of tender process.					
	Operational Risks						
	Revenue falls below plan	Develop engagement plan with key industry stakeholders to share success and encourage industry memberships. Seek funding opportunities through collaboration.					
	Industry consolidation	Continue to monitor the sector and understand developments and adjust centre operations and research focus to best suit industry requirements.					
	Financial Risks						
	Failure to secure funding package	Ensure credible and robust detailed business plan and financial profile is in place at outset. Written letters confirming all sources of funding to be in place at approval stage.					
	Project overspend	Develop detailed project plans, ensure costed items are agreed by all key stakeholders. Hold regular budget review meetings to ensure visibility of spend at all times and early intervention if budget is in danger of being exceeded.					
Financial Profile Note - these figures are subject to change during development and approval of the 5 case business model		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	TOTAL (£)
	Public	12,000,000	12,000,000	16,000,000	20,000,000	0	60,000,000
	Private	0	0	0	0	0	0
	City Deal	7,000,000	7,000,000	6,000,000	0	0	20,000,000
	TOTAL (£)	19,000,000	19,000,000	22,000,000	20,000,000	0	80,000,000
Dependencies	Planning Consent						

10.0 Interdependencies & Project Synergies

10.1 Interdependencies

Interdependence 1 - The SBCD Skills and Talent Initiative Project & *The Internet Coast*



The aim of the City Deal proposal is to create an uplift in GVA closing the ever-increasing gap between regional and national averages. In order to achieve this, the development of a workforce that is capable, responsive and skilled is key. The 11 projects share this commonality in objective and each will create opportunities for the regions current and future workforce.

The Skills and Talent Initiative will be instrumental in determining the skills needs of the 10 remaining projects and, in turn, will support regional education providers to deliver the skills solutions required. It is anticipated that skills will broadly be required in, but not limited to, the areas of ICT and digital, health and manufacturing and engineering, aligning closely to the four themes of the SBCD programme. There will be opportunities for the transferability of skills between projects and therefore across the region, creating a thriving talent base.

Undeniably, underpinning each of the projects, therefore, is skills and talent, a theme that runs strongly throughout and which will determine the overall success of the City Deal Programme. The right people with the right skills, available in the region at the right time is the most important consideration and challenge for the City Deal. Without a strong, capable and flexible workforce the SBCD projects would struggle to deliver the programme outcomes and therefore not have the transformational effect it aims to achieve.

A further key determinant of success is the preparedness and capability of future generations to capitalise on the opportunities presented by the proposal. The Skills & Talent Initiative recognises that it is essential that younger generations are well-informed, creating a sustainable pipeline of talent which is ready and able to support and further develop the future economy of the Swansea Bay City Region.

It is therefore the intention of the Skills & Talent Initiative to foster the themes of entrepreneurship and digital innovation given their strategic importance to the Swansea Bay City Deal Programme, and to work with key stakeholders to align provision to these key themes. As such, these two areas will be focussed on in schools and, increasingly so, in further and higher education institutions.

In addition, there is opportunity for synergy in terms of skills between the four themes at a more specific level: namely, Energy, Life Science & Wellbeing and Smart Manufacturing are of critical strategic importance in their own right, but through the City Deal they are interconnected and mutually supportive.

The 21st Century is a multidisciplinary age transcending sectors and the majority of innovative solutions to the most pressing challenges reside in the collision of disciplines. The further and higher education institutions operating within the region already have an exemplary offer of provision within these areas. Aligning their offer more closely to the specific needs of the City Deal and identifying where there are opportunities for multi-disciplinary teaching will create skilled individuals with the transferable skills needed to work across the region.

Interdependence 2 - The Internet of Economic Acceleration and its Constituent Projects

The proposal and its constituent projects are built on a solid foundation characterised by an advanced digital infrastructure. A lack of an effective and reliable next generation digital infrastructure will hinder the development and exploitation of new technologies and capabilities which could negatively impact and hinder the success of key projects. The level of interdependency varies across the projects with some relying on digital elements more than others.

Primarily, the successful implementation of the Digital Infrastructure project will allow for the establishment of 5G testbeds that will enable innovation and entrepreneurship, themes which are especially crucial for the Centre of Excellence in Next Generation Services, Yr Egin and the Swansea City and Waterfront Digital District. A lack of these testbeds would create significant barriers to the attraction and retention of the talent, businesses, key industry leaders and inward investment to the area which are crucial to the success of those projects.

Interdependence 3 - The Internet of Economic Acceleration and the Internet of Energy

An innovative digital infrastructure is particularly pertinent for the Homes as Power Stations project. Imperative to the proposal is the utilisation of the internet to create innovative and sustainable energy generation combined with storage and efficiency, this will be supported by the use of smart metering. The effective use of smart metering is integral to the proposal as it will allow users to manage their own usage and assist in the measurement of the efficiencies achieved within the region both at a personal and national level.


Interdependence 4 - The Internet of Economic Acceleration and the Internet of Life Science and Wellbeing

The developments proposed by both the Life Science and Wellbeing Campus and Village projects are heavily reliant on an innovative digital infrastructure. A lack of this infrastructure would hinder the projects desires of utilising advanced technologies to develop new medical devices and further develop healthcare technologies.

Interdependence 5 - The Internet of Economic Acceleration and Smart Manufacturing


Both the Factory of the Future and the Steel Science projects are reliant on the use of next generation technologies. The Factory of the Future project is closely aligned to the principles of Industry 4.0 which is based on the themes of automation and data exchange in manufacturing technologies.

Further dimensions include cyber-physical systems, the Internet of things, cloud computing and cognitive computing. These are all elements which require a strong digital infrastructure, without it the project could fail to capitalise on the digital manufacturing revolution



10.2 Synergies

Synergy 1 - Energy and Life Science & Wellbeing




The economic profile of Wales has historically been shifting from a manufacturing dominated base resulting in more of an emphasis on fostering economic growth, development and social inclusion whilst ensuring that natural assets continue to provide the resources on which our wellbeing relies. This places what is termed as the green economy in a key position within the City Deal proposal as the four themes align closely to wellbeing, the environment, manufacturing and economic growth.

The Environmental and resource productivity of the economy aligns closely to the Homes as Power Stations and Pembroke Dock Marine projects with both aiming to produce sustainable energy. The production of green energy has a positive impact on the environmental climate as green energy production generates little if any of the water and air pollution associated with traditional fossil fuel energy sources which a Harvard University study suggests costs public health services an estimated \$74.6 billion a year. Therefore production of green energy can directly affect the health and wellbeing of individuals living and working in the region by

reducing the risk of cardiovascular and respiratory diseases associated with traditional energy production. This direct link creates synergy with the Life Science and Wellbeing Campus and Village projects.

In addition, fuel poverty is a key determinant for life science and wellbeing, the Homes as Power Stations programme will specifically undertake research which will deliver impact and create an evidence base in support of disruptive innovation where the region has a global reputation as a centre of excellence.


Synergy 2 - Energy and Smart Manufacturing



The Steel Science project will also be in a prime position to have a significant impact on the Green Growth agenda. As well as developing and implementing ultra-low carbon steel making technologies, the new materials, products and processes created at the Centre will provide the opportunity to radically rethink the built environment for energy generating services. This will improve the regional natural asset base promoting the implementation of good environmental management in primary industries. This substantiates its synergy to Homes as Power Stations and Pembroke Dock Marine where materials and products may be shared and developed.

Furthermore, the Pembroke Dock Marine programme builds on momentum already underway regionally, in developing innovative marine energy solutions. This technology will require a local manufacturing base which builds upon the heavy engineering and steel generating capability of the region. Additionally, Homes as Power Stations provides the opportunity to expand existing pilot activities across the UK steel sector to realise in excess of a £1 billion industry, transforming the built environment. This extends from smart coatings on steel substrates through to innovative storage and control.

Synergy 3 - SMART Manufacturing and Life Science & Well-Being



The connections between manufacturing and life science and well-being are long established but the introduction of smart life science and smart manufacturing have placed more importance on this relationship in recent years. The projects of the Life Science and Wellbeing Village and Campuses and the Factory of the Future and Steel Science projects are the opportune prospect to align the two areas.

The region already has examples of Life Science and Wellbeing innovation created locally, manufactured locally and exported from the region to global markets. Examples include therapeutic devices, diagnostic devices and consumer products. The Factory of the Future is being specifically designed to build upon this experience and interconnect value and supply chains within a manufacturing cloud retaining optimum economic value for the region and UK. The Steel Science Centre will create new materials, products and processes, many of which will have applications in Life Science & Wellbeing. This will provide the opportunity to shape the development of intelligent sterile environments, supporting disruptive technologies for telehealth such as smart wearables and intelligent dressings.

The demand for next generation health care and smarter ways of manufacturing highlights the parallels between the two, they are both areas which are in transformation and where new opportunities in IT to meet demands are creating more opportunities for closer working.

SWANSEA BAY CITY REGION JOINT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME 2019 – 2020

VERSION 3

DATE OF MEETING	VENUE	ITEMS FOR REPORT
November 2019	On site	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Site Visit – HAPs
2pm 15 January 2020	Carmarthen	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Presentation by the recently appointed Managing Director• Update on the regional digital infrastructure programme• Chancellor Elect of Swansea University re ongoing involvement on the Programme - Verbal Update• Section 151 Officer<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Terms and Conditions from UK and Welsh Governments for the release of monies- Local Supply Chain and procurement <p><u>Programme Monitoring</u> The regional project updates specific to that meeting location Local project updates specific to that meeting location Programme Plan Risk Register Progress monitoring and implementation plan (including financial monitoring)</p>
2pm 9 March 2020	Pembroke	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Project management training <p><u>Programme Monitoring</u></p>

		<p>The regional project updates specific to that meeting location</p> <p>Local project updates specific to that meeting location</p> <p>Programme Plan</p> <p>Risk Register</p> <p>Progress monitoring and implementation plan (including financial monitoring)</p>
2pm 6 May 2020	Port Talbot	<p><u>Programme Monitoring</u></p> <p>The regional project updates specific to that meeting location</p> <p>Local project updates specific to that meeting location</p> <p>Programme Plan</p> <p>Risk Register</p> <p>Progress monitoring and implementation plan (including financial monitoring)</p>